New Paltz Central School District Response to Intervention Plan

A Multi-Tiered Academic Support Program

Table of Contents

| SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION | 4 |
|---|---------------------|
| Response to Intervention Defined | 4 |
| Legislative Background and Regulatory Requirements | 4 |
| I. New York State RTI Requirements: | 4 |
| II. Procedural Regulations Required for Districts: | 5 |
| RTI in New Paltz Central School District | 5 |
| SECTION 2: RTI AS A DATA DRIVEN MULTI-TIERED INTERVENTION FRAMEWORK | 6 |
| NPCSD's Three-Tiered RTI Model | 6 |
| Flow Chart Error! Bo | okmark not defined. |
| Assessment System | 8 |
| General Assessment Information | 8 |
| Building-Level Assessment Frameworks | 9 |
| Duzine Literacy Assessment Framework | |
| Lenape Literacy Assessment Framework | 11 |
| Lenape Math Assessment Framework | 12 |
| Progress Monitoring Assessment Options | 13 |
| Explanation of Tiers | 13 |
| Tier 1 | 13 |
| Tier 2 | 14 |
| Tier 3 | 14 |
| Explanation of Eligibility | 15 |
| Kindergarten RTI Determination | |
| First Grade RTI Determination | 20 |
| Second Grade RTI Determination | 21 |
| Third - Fifth Grade RTI Determination | 23 |
| Sixth - Eighth Grade RTI Determination | 26 |
| HS Eligibility Info | 27 |
| SECTION 3: STANDARD INSTRUCTION PROTOCOLS | 28 |
| Kindergarten Reading | 29 |
| Kindergarten Writing | |
| First Grade Reading | 31 |
| First Grade Writing | |

| Second Reading | |
|---|--|
| Second Writing | |
| Third-Fifth Literacy | 35 |
| Third-Fifth Math | |
| Sixth-Eighth Literacy | |
| Sixth-Eighth Math | 41 |
| Ninth-Tenth Literacy | |
| Ninth-Eleventh Math | 47 |
| Kindergarten - Twelfth Behavior | 50 |
| SECTION 4: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN RTI | 52 |
| Cross-Context RTI Team | 52 |
| Building RTI Teams | 52 |
| Roles of School Staff in Implementation of RTI | 52 |
| Professional Development | 53 |
| SECTION 5: DOCUMENTING STUDENT SERVICES | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| Parent/Caregiver Notification | Error! Bookmark not defined. |
| SECTION 6: THE ROLE OF RTI IN SPECIAL EDUCATION ELIGIBILITY | |
| SECTION 0. THE ROLE OF RTHIN SPECIAL EDUCATION ELIGIBLET F | 53 |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) | |
| | 53 |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) | 53 |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) | 53 |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts Websites | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts Websites APPENDIX | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts Websites APPENDIX Texts Providing Guidance for Intervention | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts Websites APPENDIX Texts Providing Guidance for Intervention Links to Intervention Tools and Strategies | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts. Websites APPENDIX. Texts Providing Guidance for Intervention Links to Intervention Tools and Strategies Texts to Support Progress Monitoring. | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts. Websites APPENDIX. Texts Providing Guidance for Intervention Links to Intervention Tools and Strategies Texts to Support Progress Monitoring Links to Progress Monitoring Tools and Resources | |
| Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE) Learning Disability Defined: Learning Disability Determination: SECTION 7: RESOURCES Texts Websites APPENDIX Texts Providing Guidance for Intervention Links to Intervention Tools and Strategies Texts to Support Progress Monitoring Links to Progress Monitoring Tools and Resources Forms & Templates | 53 54 54 54 54 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 |

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

Response to Intervention (RTI) functions as a significant educational strategy or framework designed to identify students who may be at-risk in their academic performance. The overall purpose of RTI in the New Paltz Central School District is to provide those students who are struggling to meet the demands of the curricula in English Language Arts, mathematics, and behavior with interventions targeted to their learning needs.

Response to Intervention Defined

Response to Intervention integrates assessment and intervention within a multi-tiered system to facilitate student achievement. With RTI, schools use data to identify students at risk for poor learning outcomes, monitor student progress, provide evidence-based interventions and adjust the intensity and nature of those interventions depending on a students' responsiveness. This process is imperative for student success as well as valid identification of and support of students with learning disabilities. (NCRTI, 2010).

Legislative Background and Regulatory Requirements

I. New York State RTI Requirements:

In September of 2007, the NYS Board of Regents approved multiple amendments to 8 NY Code of Rules and Regulations (8 NYCRR) that require schools to establish an RTI policy and procedures for students in the elementary grades in the area of literacy and mathematics. These amendments established a policy framework for RTI in regulations relating to school-wide screenings, minimum components of RTI programs, parent notification, and the use of RTI to identify students with learning disabilities. The addition of Section 100.2(ii) to Part 100 of the Commissioner's Regulations, set forth minimum requirements for the RTI process. Based on NY State regulations, the RTI framework shall include:

- A. **Appropriate instruction** delivered to all students in the general education class by qualified personnel. Appropriate instruction in reading means scientific research-based reading programs that include explicit and systematic instruction in phonemic awareness, phonics, vocabulary development, reading fluency (including oral reading skills) and reading comprehension strategies.
- B. **Screening assessments** administered to all students in the class to identify those students who are not making academic progress at expected rates.
- C. Instruction matched to student need with increasingly intensive levels of targeted intervention and instruction for students who do not make satisfactory progress in their levels of performance and/or in their rate of learning to meet age or grade level standards.
- D. **Repeated assessments** (benchmarking/progress monitoring) of student achievement which should include curriculum-based measures to determine if interventions are resulting in student progress toward age or grade level standards.

- E. The **application of information** about the student's response to intervention **to inform educational decisions** such as changes in goals, instruction, supporting services, and (if needed) the decision to make a referral for special education programs and/or services.
- F. Written notification to the parents when the student requires an intervention beyond that provided to all students in the general education classroom. The written notification will provide information about the:
 - 1. amount and nature of student performance data that will be collected;
 - 2. the services that will be provided;
 - 3. the strategies for increasing the student's rate of learning
 - 4. the parents' right to request an evaluation for special education programs and/or services.

II. Procedural Regulations Required for Districts:

- A. Establish a plan and policies for implementing school-wide approaches and pre-referral interventions in order to remediate a student's performance prior to referral for special education, which may include the RTI process as part of a district's school-wide approach
- B. Establish criteria for determining the levels of intervention to be provided to students
- C. Identify types of interventions
- D. Identify amount and nature of student performance data to be collected
- E. Identify the manner and frequency for progress monitoring.
- F. Implement an RTI program and take appropriate steps to ensure that staff has the knowledge and skills necessary to implement an RTI program and that such program is implemented consistent with the specific structure and components of the model.
- G. Establish criteria to determine if the nature of a student's difficulties in reading or mathematics at the elementary level is the result of learning disabilities (LD). *"Effective on or after July 1, 2012, a school district shall not use the severe discrepancy criteria to determine that a student in kindergarten through grade four has a learning disability in the area of reading."* [8 NYCRR section 200.4(j)]

In addition to the above RTI requirements, regulations adopted by the Regents require a review of reading and/or mathematics instructional programs for any student who has scored below benchmark expectations on screening assessments.

Students with low test scores must be monitored periodically through screenings and ongoing assessments of the students' reading and mathematics abilities and skills.

If the student is determined to be making substandard progress in such areas of study, instruction shall be provided that is tailored to meet the student's individual needs with increasingly intensive levels of targeted intervention and instruction.

RTI in New Paltz Central School District

As it is within all school districts across the nation, RTI is and will always be a "work in progress". Identification of and response to changing needs among a diverse student population is and *should*

always involve a commitment to engage in the process of continuous reflection, evaluation, growth, and revision of policies, procedures, and personnel decisions. Guided by the review of ongoing research and developments in various fields of education (i.e. assessment, instruction/intervention, curriculum, special education, educational technology, etc.), the New Paltz Central School District intends this document and the resulting practices to evolve in order to best serve students and their families; therefore, the following should be noted:

- A. The purpose of this document is to guide the district toward implementing RTI as federal and state laws require and as is needed for equity in the education of all students.
- B. There will be an ongoing review and revision of policies, procedures, and personnel decisions that align with the purpose stated above and the goals of the district.
- C. Operationalizing the multifaceted aspects of RTI necessitate collaboration, communication, learning, reflection, flexibility, and patience.

SECTION 2: RTI AS A DATA DRIVEN MULTI-TIERED INTERVENTION FRAMEWORK

NPCSD's Three-Tiered RTI Model

RTI serves as a multi-tiered intervention framework with increasing levels or tiers of instructional support as determined by assessment data. The *New Paltz CSD Three-Tiered RTI Model* presented below provides a visual illustration of the district's RTI model. It is important to note that the instruction a child receives within Tiers 2 and 3 of RTI is **supplemental** in nature. That is, the instruction is **in addition to and not in place of** core instruction students receive in the classroom. Further information about the assessment system and each tier follows the model.

New Paltz CSD Three-Tiered RTI Model

| Assessment | Instruction |
|--|--|
| Additional <u>diagnostic</u> assessments may be administered to students who continue to perform below grade level despite multiple Tier 2 interventions. Data obtained from past assessments (screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring) should be used to inform the more intensive instructional plan required at Tier 3. <u>Progress monitoring</u> assessment(s) must be administered more frequently (every 1-2 weeks) to evaluate effectiveness of intervention instruction and appropriate placement (i.e. back to Tier 2, continue in Tier 3, or referral to special education). | Small group (no more than 3) and/or individual instruction provided to select students <i>outside</i> of the general education classroom. Interventionists (AIS Literacy/Math, Special Educator), Speech, PT, OT, and/or Counselors provide instruction, monitor and document student learning, and communicate with one another routinely regarding student rate and extent of progress. Tier 3 intervention plan <i>may</i> differ from Tier 2 in methods, materials, and/or service provider(s), and yet <i>must</i> have increased frequency, and/or duration of instruction. All such decisions must be guided by analysis of data. Goal of 1-5% or fewer students this tier. |
| Assessment | Instruction |
| Diagnostic assessments administered to students found to be performing below grade level as per screening results. Analysis of diagnostic assessment results used to a) identify target area(s) for intervention, b) determine appropriate instruction needed, and c) develop plan for frequency & duration of intervention. Progress monitoring assessment(s) administered during course of intervention (every 2-3 weeks) to evaluate student growth and effectiveness of intervention such that changes in | Small group (no more than 5) and/or individual instruction provided to select students within or outside of the general education classroom. General classroom teacher, interventionists (AIS Literacy/Math, Special Educator), Speech, PT, OT, and/or Counselors provide instruction, monitor and document student learning, and communicate with one another routinely regarding student rate and extent of progress. Tier 2 interventions must be research based, though may vary |

Tier 2 interventions must be research based, though may vary considerably in methods, materials, frequency, and duration; all such decisions must be guided by analysis of data. Goal of 5-10%

Instruction

Universal research-based instruction provided to all students by classroom teachers in the general education classroom. Includes whole group, small group, and occasional individual instruction (i.e., reteaching). Instruction is differentiated using flexible small groups formed by analyzing screening and formative assessment data. It is expected that 80-90% of students should achieve grade level performance with Tier 1 instruction.

Assessment

instruction, frequency, and/or duration can occur if needed.

<u>Screening</u> of all students three times a year in math and literacy to a) identify students at risk of below grade level performance and/or inadequate growth, b) provide initial indication of area of need for improvement, and c) provide overall performance data to evaluate curriculum and instruction. Informal <u>formative</u> assessment used daily to inform instruction.

Tier 1

Assessment System

General Assessment Information


Students' participation in the multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) is determined by the review and analysis of various sources of data. Four types of assessment are utilized within this system to ensure a) timely identification of students needing support, b) an effective plan for intervention (targeted areas of instruction, frequency, and duration of intervention, c) students' progress or growth within any level of instruction and/or intervention (Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3), and d) continuous evaluation of curriculum, instruction, and student learning. Although most assessment tools can be categorized as screening, diagnostic, or progress monitoring, some assessment tools may serve varying purposes within an RTI system.

- A. Screening: The purpose of this type of assessment is to efficiently identify students who may be experiencing difficulty making grade appropriate progress and achievement. Screening assessments are administered to all students at specific times within the academic year. Results from these assessments inform educators of a student's difficulty, yet (in most cases) are insufficient for determining the extent of a difficulty, the specific areas needing support, and the cause of the difficulty. Screening assessments should be normed (meaning that the assessment instrument has been developed to provide grade/age level expectations) and valid (meaning that the assessment has been developed to ensure that results are accurate). Administration of screening assessments should be standardized to ensure valid and reliable results.
- B. Diagnostic: The purposes of this type of assessment are to determine the extent and specific area(s) of difficulty initially identified by a screening assessment. For this reason, diagnostic assessments are often lengthier and administered only to selected students. When combined with other sources of information (i.e. health history, social/emotional, school attendance, etc.) diagnostic assessment results may enable educators to determine the cause of a student's difficulty.
- C. **Progress Monitoring:** The purpose of this type of assessment is to efficiently and routinely determine the effect of an intervention on a student's targeted area of difficulty. Progress monitoring assessments must be aligned with the targeted intervention and may be developed by educators (i.e. instructors providing intervention) or may be instruments provided within an intervention curriculum.
- D. Formative Assessment: The purpose of this type of assessment is to provide immediate feedback to the teacher regarding the effectiveness of instruction *and* to the student to evaluate their own learning. Formative assessment is informal, closely aligned with the objective(s) of a lesson, often created by teachers, and is usually brief in nature. Formative assessment happens *during* instruction, not separate from it. For example, during a lesson focused on addition of double-digit numbers with no regrouping, the teacher may include three problems for students to solve independently or in partners on whiteboards. The teacher listens to students' comments, responds to individual questions, and surveys students' work on the whiteboards to quickly determine the students who have achieved

the objective of the lesson as well as who is struggling and with which parts of the computational process. This information is then used to guide the teacher in the next instructional steps, such as reteaching, clarification of part of the process, or moving on to a more challenging related objective with some or all of the students.

Building-Level Assessment Frameworks

Duzine Literacy Assessment Framework



Lenape Literacy Assessment Framework

| Lenape Elementary Literacy Assessment Framework | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Who is Assessed? | September SCREENING | January MID-YEAR BENCHMARK | June END OF YEAR REPORTING |
| All students | Reading: F&P Benchmark Assessment: 3 rd Nonfiction 4 th Fiction 5 th Nonfiction - Identify the highest instructional level - Determine if additional assessment is needed Spelling: 3 rd Primary Spelling Inventory 4 th Elementary Spelling Inventory 5 th Elementary Spelling Inventory | Reading: Scholastic Assessment: 3rd Literary Text 4 th Informational Text 5 th Literary Text - Confirm achievement of benchmark level fluency and comprehension - If a student is receiving AIS or SPED reading goals, this benchmark assessment is not needed* | Reading: F&P Benchmark Assessment: 3 rd Nonfiction 4 th Fiction 5 th Nonfiction - Identify the highest instructional level Spelling: 3 rd Primary Spelling Inventory 4 th Elementary Spelling Inventory 5 th Elementary Spelling Inventory |
| Those students not at grade level benchmark (due to <u>accuracy</u> and/or <u>fluency</u>) based on SCREENING F&P Benchmark Assessment, or MID- YEAR BENCHMARK Running Record Those students not at grade level benchmark (due to <u>comprehension</u>) based on SCREENING F&P Benchmark Assessment, or MID-YEAR BENCHMARK Running Record | Quick Phonics Screener Six Dimension Fluency Scale using text at student's instructional reading level F&P Analyzing Reading Behaviors to Expand Thinking | (If not administered prior) Quick Phonics Screener Six Dimension Fluency Scale using text at student's instructional reading level F&P Analyzing Reading Behaviors to Expand Thinking | If ongoing difficulty (not meeting grade level benchmarks 2 of 3 times throughout the year), a record of assessment results should be shared with Literacy Specialist at year's end. |
| Reading Benchmark Levels | 3 rd : M/N 4 th P/Q 5 th S/T | 3 rd N/O 4 th Q/R 5 th T/U | 3 rd P/Q 4 th S/T 5 th V/W |
| Spelling Benchmark Levels | 3 rd Mid. Within Word Pattern 4 th Early Syllables & Affixes 5 th Middle Syllables & Affixes | to to evolute the intervention and will inform elegeroom too | 3 rd Early Syllables & Affixes 4 th Middle Syllables and Affixes 5 th Late Syllables and Affixes |

It is assumed that AIS/SPED service providers are conducting progress monitoring assessments to evaluate the intervention and will inform classroom teacher of student's current instructional reading level at Trimester Benchmarks. If you suspect a student is struggling with phonological awareness check their folder to see results of their ELP and/or administer the <u>ELP</u> and/or the <u>PAST</u>.

Lenape Math Assessment Framework

| | Lenape Elementary Math Assessment Framework | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Who is | September | January | June | |
| Assessed? | SCREENING | MID-YEAR BENCHMARK | END OF YEAR REPORTING | |
| All students | Math: Common Grade Level Baseline Assessment | May: Re-administer Common Grade Level Baseline Assessment | Math: Common Grade Level End of Year Assessment 3rd Grade Common End of Year | |
| | <u>3rd Grade Common Baseline</u> | 3rd Grade Common Baseline | <u>3rd Scoring Guide (EOY)</u> | |
| | <u>3rd Scoring Guide (baseline)</u> | 3rd Scoring Guide (baseline) | 4th Grade Common End of Year | |
| | 4th Grade Common Baseline | 4th Grade Common Baseline | 4th Scoring Guide (EOY) | |
| | 4th Scoring Guide (baseline) | 4th Scoring Guide (baseline) | 5th Grade Common End of Year | |
| | 5th Grade Common Baseline 5th Scoring Guide (baseline) | 5th Grade Common Baseline 5th Scoring Guide (baseline) | 5th Scoring Guide (EOY) | |
| Those students who score a level 2 on baseline | Monitor in classroom and consult with Math Specialist on strategies to meet student's needs | Monitor in classroom and consult with Math Specialist on strategies to meet student's needs | If ongoing difficulty (not meeting grade level benchmarks 2 of 3 times throughou | |
| Those students who | Refer the student to Math Specialist for | Refer the student to math specialist for | the year), a record of assessment results | |
| score a level 1 on | grade level Boulder Valley Beginning of | grade level Boulder Valley Mid-year Year | should be shared with Math Specialist at | |
| baseline | Year Universal Screener | Universal Screener | year's end. | |
| Grade Level Benchmark Levels | Grade 3 : Level 3 (on grade level) 20-31 Level 2 (approaching grade level) 12-19 Level 1 (below grade level) 0-11 Grade 4 : Level 3 (on grade level) 41-60 Level 2 (approaching grade level) 25-40 Level 1 (below grade level) 0-24 Grade 5 : | Grade 3 : Level 3 (on grade level) 20-31 Level 2 (approaching grade level) 12-19 Level 1 (below grade level) 0-11 Grade 4 : Level 3 (on grade level) 41-60 Level 2 (approaching grade level) 25-40 Level 1 (below grade level) 0-24 Grade 5 : | Grade 3 : Level 3 (on grade level) 34-52 Level 2 (approaching grade level) 20-33 Level 1 (below grade level) 0-19 Grade 4 : Level 3 (on grade level) 24-36 Level 2 (approaching grade level) 15-23 Level 1 (below grade level) 0-14 Grade 5 : | |
| | Level 3 (on grade level) 24-36 | Level 3 (on grade level) 24-36 | Level 3 (on grade level) 28-42 | |
| | Level 2 (approaching grade level) 15-23 | Level 2 (approaching grade level) 15-23 | Level 2 (approaching grade level) 1-27 | |
| | Level 1 (below grade level) 0-14 | Level 1 (below grade level) 0-14 | Level 1 (below grade level) 0-16 | |

It is assumed that AIS/SPED service providers are conducting progress monitoring assessments to evaluate the intervention and will inform classroom teacher of student's current instructional math level at Trimester Benchmarks.

Progress Monitoring Assessment Options

Effective implementation of the RTI framework necessitates careful monitoring of students' responses to instruction; therefore, progress monitoring assessments must be used within **all** tiers of instruction. In addition, while teachers and interventionists are strongly encouraged to use formative assessment to drive their daily instructional decisions, it is imperative that written (as opposed to oral or anecdotal) evidence of student's **independent** proficiencies be collected for the purpose of monitoring the effectiveness of instruction within each tier. In addition to using benchmark assessments within the frameworks above, some suggestions for progress monitoring measures are provided below with additional resources linked in the Appendix of this document:

- Curriculum Embedded Assessments
 - Chapter or unit tests available through purchased programs
 - Teacher-created end-of-unit/chapter assessments
- Published Informal Assessment Probes/Tasks
 - Maze/cloze assessments of in-text comprehension
 - Informal reading inventories such as the Qualitative Reading Inventory, Reading A-Z Running Records
 - o easyCBM printable measures (Reading and Math)
 - o Supplemental assessments within the Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment system
 - Math fact checks
 - o Behavior monitoring observational checklists
 - Writing rubrics and checklists
- Unpublished/Teacher Created Brief Assessments
 - NOTE: such progress monitoring tools must be aligned with objectives of targeted intervention in order to accurately evaluate student progress and determine effectiveness of intervention
 - End-of-Lesson tasks/assignments (i.e. exit tickets, worksheets, practice problems)
 - Writing prompts with specific rubrics for objective evaluation of key aspects or traits of writing
 - Reading response notebook with specific criteria for objective evaluation of targeted instruction
 - Formative running records (i.e. conducted during Guided Reading and or Independent Reading) and utilizing specific criteria for objective evaluation of targeted instruction (such as accuracy percentage, self correction ratio, etc.)

Explanation of Tiers

Tier 1

Tier 1 is considered the primary level of intervention in New Paltz Schools and includes supplemental instruction to the core instructional program for a) a whole class in which the majority (i.e. 75% or more) of students in a class are found to be struggling or below grade level expectations, and/or b) a small group of students evidencing initial signs of academic struggle or difficulty with a particular concept or in one unit of study and therefore needing targeted differentiation and/or reteaching. Tier 1 intervention is provided within the general classroom setting by the classroom teacher and is often done to address a *temporary* need, not a student's *ongoing* difficulty to meet grade level expectations. Some examples of

Tier 1 intervention include but are not limited to providing instructional supports (i.e. graphic organizers, graph paper, additional practice of a taught concept using online programs), assessment accommodations (i.e. extended time), and small group instruction (i.e. reengagement lesson, foundational skills practice, or strategy-focused lesson).

Tier 2

Within the New Paltz Central Schools, Tier 2 intervention includes small group or individual supplemental instruction provided by the classroom teacher and/or an Interventionist Teacher (i.e. Literacy Specialist, Reading Teacher, Speech-Language Pathologist, Math Specialist, School Counselor) or other designated professional to address a student's ongoing difficulty to meet grade level expectations. The services are offered to students either within or outside of the general education classroom setting. As in Tier 1 intervention, Tier 2 intervention is supplemental instruction that is provided in addition to not in place of the core instruction all students receive in the classroom.

The supplemental instruction/intervention provided at this tier is designed to address the needs or weaknesses of the student relative to the curricular demands in literacy, mathematics, or behavior. Students requiring Tier 2 services are those who, based on the analysis of benchmark, progress monitoring, and/or repeated formative assessment results, have not made adequate progress within Tier 1 core instruction and intervention and continue to require supplemental instruction.

Because Tier 2 intervention is a service that is beyond that which is provided to all students in the general education classroom, documentation is required. Before commencing Tier 2 services, a review by the school's Instructional Study Team (IST) is necessary. Parent notification is also required.

Tier 3

Tier 3 services in the New Paltz Central Schools are designed for those students who have made limited progress within Tier 2 intervention(s) or who demonstrate significant needs that warrant more intensive instruction or intervention. Tier 3 interventions are most often provided to an individual student or very small groups of students (i.e. 3 students or less) exhibiting a need for the same targeted instruction. Tier 3 interventional (s) with expertise (i.e. certification, advanced professional development, licensure) in the area(s) of targeted instruction (literacy, math, behavior).

The supplemental instruction/intervention provided at this tier is designed to address the needs or weaknesses of the student relative to the curricular demands in literacy, mathematics, or behavior. Students requiring Tier 3 services are those who, based on the analysis of diagnostic, benchmark, progress monitoring, and formative assessment results, have not made adequate progress within Tier 1 core instruction and Tier 2 intervention instruction, and thus require additional more intensive instruction.

Because Tier 3 intervention is a service that is beyond that which is provided to all students in the general education classroom, documentation is required. Before commencing Tier 3 services, a review by the school's Instructional Study Team (IST) is necessary. Parent notification is also required. At this level, the IST may consider whether increasing the intensity of services and/or making a referral to the Committee on Special Education is required.

Explanation of Eligibility

Eligibility for Tiers 2 and 3 are determined using multiple measures and benchmarks established at each building in the district (see tables below). No one measure (i.e. state test score or other administered assessment) or subtest score will be used to determine any student's eligibility for services within or outside of the general classroom setting. In addition, specific assessment results will determine which services and interventions within each tier are most appropriate to address each student's needs. Collaborative review of data and discussion among members of Instructional Study Teams (IST) and building level RTI teams will guide eligibility decisions for Tier 2 and Tier 3 services as well as the location of these services (i.e. general classroom, pullout, other) and most appropriate service provider(s). In addition, building-level RTI teams and/or ISTs will review screening, benchmark, and progress monitoring data to determine when students have attained grade level expectations in reading, math, and/or behavior and therefore are no longer eligible for Tier 2 or Tier 3 services.

NPCSD RTI Process Flowchart: Example 1, Students Identified Through Screening Process

- Screening Data Collected
- Building RTI Team reviews all data
- Building RTI Team selects students who may be eligible for T2


RTI Team Solicits Confirmation of T2 Eligible Students From Classroom Teachers

- Classroom teachers review screening data
- Classroom teachers collect informal and formative data to confirm or refute eligibility
- Building RTI Team notified of students selected for Tier 2 services

Tier 2 Services Established & Monitored

- Classroom teacher and/or interventionist(s) determine which students need which interventions
- Location and persons responsible for intervention and progress monitoring are established
- Parent notification letter sent
- Classroom teacher and interventionist collaborate as needed (at least once every six weeks) to evaluate progress and determine next steps (i.e. return to T1, continue T2, refer to IST for changes in T2 intervention, or T3 consideration)

NPCSD RTI Process Flowchart: Example 2, Students Identified Through Referral Process



Kindergarten RTI Determination

| | Beginning | Middle | End |
|---|--|--|--|
| DIAL Concepts Area Item #3: Rapid Object Naming | Tier 2 weighted score 1 Tier 3 weighted score 0 | | |
| DIAL Language Area Item #4: Letters & Sounds | Tier 2 weighted score 1 Tier 3 weighted score 0 | | |
| DIAL Language Area Item #5: Rhyming & I Spy (rhyme production & initial sound) | Tier 2 weighted score 1 Tier 3 weighted score 0 | | |
| ELP - Letter Name Recognition* | Mastery (52) Tier 1/52 (10-51) Tier 2/52 (5-10) Tier 3/52 (0-5) | Mastery (52) Tier 1/52 (36-51) Tier 2/52 (20-35) Tier 3/52 (0-19) | Mastery (52) Tier 1/52 (49-51) Tier 2/52 (45-49) Tier 3/52 (0-44) |
| ELP - Letter Sound Recognition* | Mastery (26) Tier 1/26 | Mastery (26) Tier 1/26 (18-25) Tier 2/26 (12-17) Tier 3/26 (0-11) | Mastery (26) Tier 1/26 (24-25) Tier 2/26 (18-23) Tier 3/26 (0-17) |
| ELP - Syllable Segmentation* | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (6-7) Tier 2/10 (3-5) Tier 3/10 (0-2) | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (7-10) Tier 2/10 (4-6) Tier 3/10 (0-3) |
| ELP - Onset-Rime* | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (2-6) Tier 2/10 (1) Tier 3/10 (0) | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (5-6) Tier 2/10 (3-4) Tier 3/10 (0-2) | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (7-10) Tier 2/10 (5-6) Tier 3/10 (0-4) |
| ELP - Listening Comprehension* | Mastery (4-6) Tier 1/6 Tier 2/6 (3-4) Tier 3/6 (1-2) | Mastery (4-6) Tier 1/6 (5-6) Tier 2/6 (3-4) Tier 3/6 (1-2) | Mastery (4-6) Tier 1/6 (5-6) Tier 2/6 (3-4) Tier 3/6 (1-2) |

| ELP - Phoneme Blending* | | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (5-10) Tier 2/10 (1-4) Tier 3/10 (0) | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (7-10) Tier 2/10 (5-6) Tier 3/10 (0-4) |
|---|--|---|---|
| ELP - Phoneme Segmentation* | | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (3-6) Tier 2/10 (1-2) Tier 3/10 (0) | Mastery (7-10) Tier 1/10 (7-10) Tier 2/10 (3-6) Tier 3/10 (1-2) |
| ELP - High Frequency Words* (this is a total of the K list) | | Mastery (23-25) Tier 1/25 (6-22) Tier 2/25 (4-5) Tier 3/25 (0-3) | Mastery (23-25) Tier 1/25 (21-22) Tier 2/25 (15-20) Tier 3/25 (0-15) |
| Fountas & Pinnell Running Record** | | EOY Benchmark C Tier 1 (A+) Tier 2 (NY and A) Tier 3 (NY) | EOY Benchmark C Tier 1 (C+) Tier 2 (B) Tier 3 (NY and A) |
| Teacher report of student achievement based on class average (C.A.) • provide evidence | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) |
| Bridges Math Screener | TBD | TBD | TBD |

First Grade RTI Determination

| | Beginning | Middle | End |
|---|--|--|--|
| ELP - Letter Name Recognition* | Mastery (52) | Mastery (52) | Mastery (52) |
| | Tier 1/52 | Tier 1/52 | Tier 1/52 |
| | Tier 2/52 (46-49) | Tier 2/52 (<52) | Tier 2/52 (NA) |
| | Tier 3/52 (0-44) | Tier 3/52 (<52) | Tier 3/52(<52) |
| ELP - Letter Sound Recognition* | Mastery (26) | Mastery (26) | Mastery (26) |
| | Tier 1/26 | Tier 1/26 | Tier 1/26 |
| | Tier 2/26 (17-23) | Tier 2/26 (<26) | Tier 2/26 (NA) |
| | Tier 3/26 (0-18) | Tier 3/26 (<26) | Tier 3/26 (<26) |
| ELP - Syllable Segmentation* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 2/10 (4-6) | Tier 2/10 (<6) | Tier 2/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-3) | Tier 3/10 (<6) | Tier 3/10 (<6) |
| ELP - Onset-Rime* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 2/10 (5-6) | Tier 2/10 (<6) | Tier 2/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-4) | Tier 3/10(4-6) | Tier 3/10 (<6) |
| ELP - Phoneme Blending* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 2/10 (5-6) | Tier 2/10 (<7) | Tier 2/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-4) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (<7) |
| ELP - Phoneme Segmentation* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 2/10 (5-6) | Tier 2/10 (6) | Tier 2/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-4) | Tier 3/10 (0-5) | Tier 3/10 (<7) |
| ELP - High Frequency Words* (this is a total of the K and 1 lists) | Mastery (69-75) Tier 1 (23-75) Tier 2 (15-22) Tier 3 (0-14) | Mastery (69-75) Tier 1 (51-75) Tier 2 (25-50) Tier 3 (0-24) | Mastery (69-75) Tier 1 (71-75) Tier 2 (50-70) Tier 3 (0-49) |

| ELP - Phonics Decoding | | List 1 Mastery (16-20) | List 2 Mastery (16-20) |
|---|--|--|--|
| (there are three different lists | | Tier 1 (14-20) | Tier 1 (14-20) |
| increasing in difficulty during the | | Tier 2 (10-13) | Tier 2 (10-13) |
| year) | | Tier 3 (0-10) | Tier 3 (0-10) |
| Fountas & Pinnell Running Record** | EOY Benchmark I | EOY Benchmark I | EOY Benchmark I |
| | Tier 1 (C+) | Tier 1 (E+) | Tier 1 (I+) |
| | Tier 2 (< C) | Tier 2 (< F) | Tier 2 (< H) |
| | Tier 3 (< B) | Tier 3 (< D) | Tier 3 (< G) |
| Teacher report of student achievement based on class average (C.A.) • provide evidence | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) |
| Bridges Math Screener | TBD | TBD | TBD |

Second Grade RTI Determination

| | Beginning | Middle | End |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| ELP - Letter Name Recognition* | Mastery (52) | Mastery (52) | Mastery (52) |
| | Tier 1/52 | Tier 1/52 | Tier 1/52 |
| | Tier 3/52 (0-51) | Tier 3/52 (0-52) | Tier 3/52 (0-52) |
| ELP - Letter Sound Recognition* | Mastery (26) | Mastery (26) | Mastery (26) |
| | Tier 1/26 | Tier 1/26 | Tier 1/26 |
| | Tier 3/26 (0-25) | Tier 3/26 (0-26) | Tier 3/26 (0-26) |
| ELP - Syllable Segmentation* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) |
| ELP - Onset-Rime* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10(4-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) |

| ELP - Phoneme Blending* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) |
| ELP - Phoneme Segmentation* | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) | Mastery (7-10) |
| | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 | Tier 1/10 |
| | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) | Tier 3/10 (0-6) |
| ELP - High Frequency Words* (this is a total of the K,1,and 2 lists) | Mastery (161-175) Tier 1 (75-175) Tier 2 (50-74) Tier 3 (0-50) | Mastery (161-175) Tier 1 (99-175) Tier 2 (75-98) Tier 3 (0-75) | Mastery (161-175) Tier 1 (125-175) Tier 2 (100-124) Tier 3 (0-100) |
| ELP - Phonics Decoding | List 3 Mastery (16/20) | List 4 Mastery (16/20) | List 5 Mastery (16/20) |
| (there are three different lists | Tier 1 (14-20) | Tier 1 (14-20) | Tier 1 (14-20) |
| increasing in difficulty during the | Tier 2 (10-13) | Tier 2 (10-13) | Tier 2 (10-13) |
| year) | Tier 3 (0-9) | Tier 3 (0-9) | Tier 3 (0-9) |
| Fountas & Pinnell Running Record** | EOY Benchmark (M) | EOY Benchmark (M) | EOY Benchmark (M) |
| | Tier 1 (I+) | Tier 1 (K+) | Tier 1 (M+) |
| | Tier 2 (G and H) | Tier 2 (I and J) | Tier 2 (K and L) |
| | Tier 3 (below G) | Tier 3 (below I) | Tier 3 (below K) |
| Teacher report of student achievement based on class average (C.A.) • provide evidence | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) | At or above C.A. Below C.A. (Tier 2) Sign. below C.A. (Tier 3) |
| Bridges Math Screener | TBD | TBD | TBD |

Third - Fifth Grade RTI Determination

| New Paltz Lenape (Grades 3-5) RTI Determination | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Measure | Tier 2 | Tier 3* | |
| | Beginning - Middle - End of Year | Beginning - Middle - End of Year | |
| Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment | Reading level (instructional) benchmark | Grade 3 | |
| | <u>Grade 3</u> | BOY below Level J | |
| | BOY Levels J-L | MOY below Level K | |
| | MOY Levels K-M | EOY below Level N | |
| | EOY Levels N-P | | |
| | | Grade 4 | |
| | Grade 4 | BOY below Level N | |
| | BOY Levels N-P | MOY below Level O | |
| | MOY Levels O-Q | EOY below Level Q | |
| | EOY Levels Q-S | | |
| | | <u>Grade 5</u> | |
| | <u>Grade 5</u> | BOY below Level Q | |
| | BOY Levels Q-S | MOY below Level R | |
| | MOY Levels R-T | EOY below Level T | |
| | EOY Levels T-V | | |
| Quick Phonics Screener | Phonics Principles as Applied to Decoding | | |
| | NOTE : data from this assessment alone is NOT an indicator for Tier 2 or Tier 3 | Grade 3 BOY <70% accuracy on task 4 (silent-e) | |
| | Grade 3 BOY <70% accuracy on task 7 (vowel digraphs) | MOY <70% accuracy on task 5 (r-controlled vowels) | |

| | MOY <70% accuracy on task 8 (prefixes & suffixes) EOY <70% accuracy on task 9a (2-syllable) <u>Grade 4</u> BOY <70% accuracy on task 8 (prefixes & suffixes) | EOY <70% accuracy on task 6 (consonant digraphs) <u>Grade 4</u> BOY <70% accuracy on task 7 (vowel digraphs) or below MOY <70% accuracy on task 8 (prefixes & suffixes) or below |
|--|---|--|
| | MOY <70% accuracy on task 9a (2-syllable) | EOY <70% accuracy on task 9a (2-syllable) or below |
| | EOY <70% accuracy on task 9b (3-syllable) | Grade 5BOY<70% accuracy on task 8 (prefixes & suffixes) or below |
| | Grade 5BOY<70% accuracy on task 9a (2-syllable) | MOY <70% accuracy on task 9a (2-syllable) or below EOY <70% accuracy on task 9b |
| | MOY <70% accuracy on task 9b (3-syllable) | (3-syllable) or below |
| | EOY <70% accuracy on task 9c (4-syllable) | |
| Spelling Inventory (Primary/Elementary) | Developmental Stage of Spelling <u>NOTE</u> : data from this assessment alone is NOT an indicator for Tier 2 or Tier 3 | |
| | <u>Grade 3</u> BOY Below Within Word Patterns (Middle) | |

| | EOY Below Syllables and Affixes (Early) | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----|
| | BOYBelow Syllables and Affixes (Early)EOYBelow Syllables and Affixes (Middle) | |
| | Grade 5BOYBelow Syllables and Affixes (Middle)EOYBelow Syllables and Affixes (Late) | |
| NYS Previous Grade Math Assessment | <u>NOTE</u>: Subject to change based on yearly NYS Cutoff Scores | |
| | Grade 3: below 593 Grade 4: below 595 | |
| | Grade 5: below 598 | |
| NYS Previous Grade ELA Assessment | NOTE: Subject to change based on yearly NYS Cutoff Scores | |
| | Grade 3: below 592 | |
| | Grade 4: below 593 | |
| | Grade 5: below 601 | |
| Bridges Math Screener | TBD | TBD |

Sixth - Eighth Grade RTI Determination

| New Paltz Middle School (Grades 6-8 RTI) Determination | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Measure | Tier 2 | Tier 3* | | | |
| | Beginning - Middle - End of Year | Beginning - Middle - End of Year | | | |
| Math Locally Developed Assessments | 6th: End of 5th grade and then 6th Grade Locally Developed Assessment Percentile 10th -20th Percentile & Teacher Recommendation 7th: End of 6th grade and then 7th Grade Locally Developed Assessment Percentile 10th-20th Percentile & Teacher Recommendation 8th: End of 7th grade and then 8th Grade Locally Developed Assessment 10th - 20th Percentile & Teacher Recommendation | 6th: End of 5th grade and then 6th Grade Locally Developed Assessment Percentile 0 -10th Percentile & Teacher Recommendation 7th: End of 6th grade and then 7th Grade Locally Developed Assessment Percentile 0-10th Percentile & Teacher Recommendation 8th: End of 7th grade and then 8th Grade Locally Developed Assessment 0 - 10th Percentile & Teacher Recommendation | | | |
| Reading: Gray Silent Reading Test (GSRT) | Students 20th - 42nd percentile | Students below 20th percentile | | | |
| Reading: Fountas & Pinnell Benchmark Assessment | 6th: Teacher referral with F&P Level V and below 7th: Teacher referral with F&P Level within W-Y 8th: Teacher referral with F&P Below Z | 6th: Teacher referral with F&P Level S and below 7th: Teacher referral with F&P Level V and below 8th: Teacher referral with F&P Level Y and below | | | |
| NYS Previous Grade Math Assessment | 6th-8th: Level 2 | 6th-8th Level 1 | | | |
| NYS Previous Grade ELA Assessment | 6th: Level 2 590-601 7th: Level 2 591-606 8th Level 2 584-602 | 6th: Level 1 502-589 7th: Level 1 510-590 8th Level 1 507-583 | | | |

HS Eligibility Info

Teachers may use the following assessment measures to determine students' need for Tier 1 instructional supports, and eligibility for Tier 2 and Tier 3 services.

GR9 - ELA: Writing sample in September; Mid-term in January; Final exam and project in June all designed to determine students below grade level needing additional support and for the purpose of measuring growth (can inform instruction for following year)

GR10-ELA: Writing sample and practice Regents exams in Semester one; Mid-term in January; Regents exam in June all designed to determine students below grade level needing additional support and for the purpose of measuring growth (can inform instruction/placement for following year)

GR9 - Math: Locally developed pre-assessment, daily/weekly quizzes, curriculum unit tests

GR10 - Math: Algebra 1 Regents exam results, daily/weekly quizzes, curriculum unit tests

GR11 - Math: Geometry Regents exam results, daily/weekly quizzes, curriculum unit tests

SECTION 3: STANDARD INSTRUCTION PROTOCOLS

The following tables provide a brief description of literacy and mathematics instruction for core (Tier 1) and options for intervention (Tiers 1-3) at the elementary, middle, and high school levels. It should be noted that specific programs (i.e., Fundations, Fountas and Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention, Lexia, Multi-Sensory Reading) should be used as a support or resource as is deemed appropriate given a students' needs evident from the analysis of assessment results. In addition, instructional options for behavioral intervention K-12 are also provided. In all areas, intervention instruction must be aligned with the specific needs of the student(s) as determined by analysis of assessment results from screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, and/or formative measures.

Kindergarten Reading

| | NPCSD K-2 Standard Literacy Protocol | | | | | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction | Instru | | ction and Intervention Resources | | |
| Grade | (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | |
| K (RDG) | 90 minutes x 5 days/week CCLS Balanced Literacy Core - Whole group ELA (reading and writing) core instruction (at least 90 minutes) that includes 15-30 minutes of differentiated small group reading instruction at least 3x/cycle in the classroom. Interactive Read Aloud Shared Reading Guided Reading Independent Reading Reader's and Writer's Workshop Explicit Phonological and Phonics/Word Study Instruction (Fountas and Pinnell Phonics program and/or Fundations) | Tier 1 Intervention: Core +: Intervention large and/or small groups In the general education classroom setting. Up to 20 minutes, 3x per 6-day cycle Tier 2 Intervention: Core + 20-30 minutes, 3-4x per 6-day cycle Small group (3-5) Within or outside of the classroom with classroom teacher and/or designated professional (may include specialist push- in) Tier 3 Intervention: | Razkids Starfall Guided Reading (reduced group size) fcrr.org ELP resource kit Fountas Pinnell Phonics Reading A-Z interventioncentral. org | Fundations Lexia Speech and Language Services F&P Leveled Literacy Intervention | OT/PT/ST Double Dose Fundations F&P Leveled Literacy Intervention (with reduction in group size) | |
| | | Core+ 20-30 minutes daily Small groups (1-3) Outside of the classroom with specialist | | | | |

Kindergarten Writing

| 0 | Core - Whole group ELA (reading | Tier 1 Intervention: | • interventioncentral. | • Fundations small | • OT/PT |
|-------------|---|--|---|---|---------|
| K (WRTG) | and writing) core instruction (at least 90 minutes) Explicit Writing Instruction Shared Writing Interactive Writing Independent Writing Explicit Handwriting Instruction | Core+ Intervention large and/or small groups In the general education classroom setting. Up to 20 minutes, 3x per 6-day cycle Tier 2 Intervention: Core + 10-20 minutes, 2-3x per 6-day cycle Small group (3-5) Within or outside of the classroom with classroom teacher and/or designated professional (may include specialist push- in) | Interventioncentral: org fcrr.org supplemental resources from Lucy Calkins graphic organizers paper choice modified tasks that scaffold foundational skills alphabet moves Handwriting without Tears Fundations ELP Toolkit Verbal Pathway for letter formation | Fundations small group ELP Toolkit (smaller group) | |
| | | Tier 3 Intervention: | | | |
| | | • Core+ | | | |
| | | • 20-30 minutes daily | | | |
| | | • Small groups (1-3) | | | |
| | | Outside of the | | | |
| | | classroom with specialist | | | |

First Grade Reading

| | 90 minutes x 5 days/week | Tier 1 Intervention: | Razkids | Fundations | • OT/PT/ST |
|------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 (RDG) | 90 minutes x 5 days/week CCLS Balanced Literacy Core - Whole group ELA (reading and writing) core instruction (at least 90 minutes) that includes 15-30 minutes of differentiated small group reading instruction at least 3x/cycle in the classroom. Interactive Read Aloud Shared Reading Guided Reading Independent Reading Reader's and Writer's Workshop Explicit Phonics/Word Study Instruction (amended Fountas and Pinnell Phonics program) Select portions of Fundations | Core +: Intervention large and/or small groups In the general education classroom setting. Up to 20 minutes, 3x per 6-day cycle Tier 2 Intervention: Core + 20-30 minutes, 3-4x per 6-day cycle Small group (3-5) Within or outside of the classroom with classroom teacher and/or designated professional (may include specialist push- in) Tier 3 Intervention: Core+ 20-30 minutes daily Small groups (1-3) Outside of the classroom with specialist | Starfall Guided Reading (reduced group size and/or increased frequency) | Fundations Lexia Speech and Language Services Literacy Group Book Trade-with reading dept. F&P Leveled Literacy Intervention | OT/PT/ST Double Dose Fundations F&P Leveled Literacy Intervention (with reduction in group size) Reading Recovery |

First Grade Writing

| 1 (WRTG) | Core - Whole group ELA (reading and writing) core instruction (at least 90 minutes) • Explicit Writing Instruction • Shared Writing • Interactive Writing • Independent Writing • Explicit Handwriting Instruction | Tier 1 Intervention: Core+ Intervention large and/or small groups In the general education classroom setting. Up to 20 minutes, 3x per 6-day cycle Tier 2 Intervention: Core + 15-25 minutes, 2-3x per 6-day cycle Small group (3-5) Within or outside of the classroom with classroom teacher and/or designated professional (may | org fcrr.org supplemental | Fundations small group ELP Toolkit (smaller group) RTI Writing Intervention Skills Group: ✓ sequencing ✓ labeling ✓ details ✓ conventions | OT/PT RTI Writing Intervention Skills Group: ✓ sequencing ✓ labeling ✓ details ✓ conventions |
|-------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | and/or designated professional (may include specialist push- in) | • Verbal Pathway for | | |
| | | Tier 3 Intervention: | | | |
| | | Core+20-30 minutes daily | | | |
| | | Small groups (1-3) | | | |
| | | Outside of the | | | |
| | | classroom with specialist | | | |

Second Reading

Second Writing

| | Core - Whole group ELA (reading | Tier 1 Intervention: | • interventioncentral. | Fundations small | OT/PT |
|-------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 2 (WRTG) | and writing) core instruction (at least 90 minutes) Explicit Writing Instruction Shared Writing Interactive Writing Independent Writing Explicit Handwriting Instruction | Core+ Intervention large and/or small groups In the general education classroom setting. Up to 20 minutes, 3x per 6-day cycle Tier 2 Intervention: Core + 15-25 minutes, 2-3x per 6-day cycle Small group (3-5) Within or outside of the classroom with classroom teacher and/or designated professional (may include specialist push- in) | org fcrr.org supplemental resources from Lucy Calkins graphic organizers paper choice modified tasks that scaffold foundational skills alphabet moves Handwriting without Tears ELP Toolkit Verbal Pathway for letter formation | groupELP Toolkit (smaller group) | RTI Writing Intervention Skills Group: ✓ sequencing ✓ labeling ✓ details ✓ conventions |
| | | Tier 3 Intervention: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | include specialist push- in) | | | |

Third-Fifth Literacy

| | | NPCSD 3-5 Stan | dard Literacy Protocol | | |
|-------|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction | | Instruction and Intervention Resources | | |
| Grade | (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions |
| 3-5 | 90 minutes daily Balanced Literacy Interactive Read Aloud Shared Reading Guided Reading/Literature Circles or Stations Independent reading Phonics/Word Study- Fountas and Pinnell- 3rd Grade; Words Their Way- 4th Grade Mini-lesson for writing Interactive writing Independent writing Grade Level Humanities Matrices Grammar Instruction Matrix for writing conventions Formative assessments to drive groupings/feedback <i>Reading Strategies</i> - Serravalo <i>Writing Strategies</i> - Serravalo <i>Strategies That Work</i> - Harvey <i>Content Area Literacy</i> - Harvey <i>Literacy Continuum</i> - Fountas & Pinnell | Tier 1Whole group ELA core instruction (at least 90 minutes) that includes 15-30 minutes of small group instructional support or teacher intervention at least 2x/cycle in the classroom.Tier 2-AWhole group ELA core instruction (at least 90 minutes), in addition to 15-30 minutes of small group instructional support or teacher intervention with no more than 5 students to a group, at least 3x/cycle inside the classroom.Tier 2-BWhole group ELA core instruction in addition to 15-30 minutes of small group intervention with no more than 5 students to a group, at least 3x/cycle inside or outside the classroom with a specialist or other designated professional.Tier 1 and Tier 2-A intervention + 30-40 minutes of intensive small group instructional support or teacher intervention with no more than 3 students to a group, at least 3x/cycle | Tier 1•Read alouds•Guided reading (Materials in book room)•Skill/strategy group•Literature discussion•Vocabulary acquisition routines•Word Study Routines (using word study scope and sequence)•Graphic organizers to demonstrate comprehension (story maps, character charts, Venn diagrams)•High-frequency words•word walls•Fluency assessments/ instruction (Reader's Theater, purposeful repeated oral reading with feedback, explicit modeling)•Dictation•Third Grade- Use "Verbal Path for Formation of Letters" (Fountas & Pinnell)•SmartBoards and Chromebooks:•NewsELA.com•Brainpop and BrainpopJr•Storyworks•Scholastic News | <u>Tier 2-A</u> Intervention kits "If -Then Reading Interventions" Menu Intervention Central <u>Tier 2-B</u> Fountas & Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) Intervention kits "If-Then Reading Interventions" Menu Intervention Central Grandview Intervention Resources: <u>https://www.mccsc. edu/Page/2621</u> | Tier 3 LLI Multi- Sensory Reading Intervention Central |

Third-Fifth Math

| | NPCSD 3-5 Standard MATH Protocol | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Grade | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Instruc Tier 1 Instructional Supports | tion and Intervention Reso Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | |
| 3-5 | 60 minutes Math Workshop Model Whole group mini-lessons Small group instruction Formative assessments to drive groupings/feedback Grade Level Math Matrices Resources Math in Practice Number Talks Math in Context Math Vocabulary Math Literature Authentic instructional contexts | Tier 1Whole group math core instruction(at least 60 minutes) that includes 20minutes of small group instructionalsupport or teacher intervention atleast 2x/cycle in the classroom.Tier 2-AWhole group math core instruction(at least 60 minutes), which includes20 minutes of small groupinstructional support or teacherintervention with no more than 5students to a group, at least $3x/cycle$ instructional support or teacherinter 2-BWhole group math core instruction +20-30 minutes of small groupinstructional support or teacherintervention with no more than 5students to a group, at least $3x/cycle$ inside or outside the classroom.Tier 3Tier 1 and Tier 2-A intervention + 20-30-use of minutes of intensive smallgroup instructional support orteacher intervention with no morethan 3 students to a group, at least3x/cycle outside the classroom by atier 3 specialist | use of concrete manipulatives visual models vocabulary instruction frequent feedback time for revisions to recent work reteaching of strategies alternate strategies alternate strategies authentic instructional contexts MathReasoning Inventory.com Grandview Intervention Resources: https://www.mccs c.edu/Page/2621 | Bridges Intervention Kits www.interventi oncentral.org Grandview Intervention Resources: https://www.mccs c.edu/Page/26 21 | Bridges Intervention Kits www.interventi oncentral.org Grandview Intervention Resources: https://www.mccs c.edu/Page/2621 | |

Sixth-Eighth Literacy

| | NPCSD 6-8 Standard Literacy Protocol | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction | | Instru | ction and Intervention Res | ources | | |
| Grade | (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | | |
| 6 | 80 minutes daily LA Units of Study Reading Units of Study | Tier 1 InterventionCore 50 + (15-30) minutes of instructional support or teacher intervention, 3xweek. Large or small group in the classroomTier 2 InterventionTier 1 + 40 minutes of small group AIS instruction (group max of 5) outside of the classroom 5x/weekTier 3 InterventionTier 1 + 40 minutes of small | www.interventioncentr al.orgwww.readworks.comwww.fcrr.orgNEWSELA.comCommon LitScholasticQuill.orgGraphic Organizers | ScholasticShort Reads, Action, Storyworks, Choices Everyday comprehension Reading A-Z F&P Leveled Literacy Instruction Guided Reading The Comprehension Tool Kit | ScholasticShort Reads, Action, Storyworks, Choices Everyday comprehension Reading A-Z The Comprehension Tool Kit Quill.org Multisensory Reading Instruction | | |
| | | group instruction (max of 3) outside of classroom 5x/week | | Quill.org SUNY student tutoring | | | |

| 7 | 40 minutes daily English Units of Study | Tier 1 InterventionCore 20 + 20 minutes of instructional support or teacher intervention, 3xweek. Large or small group in the classroomTier 2 InterventionTier 1 + 40 minutes of small | www.interventioncentral.orgwww.readworks.comwww.fcrr.orgNEWSELA.comcommon litScholasticQuill.orgGraphic Organizers | ScholasticShort Reads, Action, Storyworks, Choices Everyday comprehension Reading A-Z Guided Reading F&P Leveled Literacy Instruction The Comprehension Tool Kit Quill.org SUNY student tutoring | ScholasticShort Reads, Action, Storyworks, Choices Everyday comprehension Reading A-Z Guided Reading F&P Leveled Literacy Instruction The Comprehension Tool Kit Quill.org Multisensory Reading Instruction |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 8 | 40 Minutes of Study English Units of Study | Tier 1 InterventionCore 20 + 20 minutes of instructional support or teacher intervention, 3xweek. Large or small group in the classroomTier 2 InterventionTier 1 + 40 minutes of small group AIS instruction (max of 5) outside of the classroom 5xweekTier 3 Intervention | www.interventioncentral.orgwww.readworks.comwww.fcrr.orgNEWSELA.comcommon litScholasticQuill.orgGraphic Organizers | ScholasticShort Reads, Action, Storyworks, Choices Everyday comprehension Reading A-Z Guided Reading F&P Leveled Literacy Instruction The Comprehension Tool Kit | ScholasticShort Reads, Action, Storyworks, Choices Everyday comprehension Reading A-Z F&P Leveled Literacy Instruction Guided Reading The Comprehension Tool Kit |

| | Tier 1 + 40 minutes of small | Quill.org | Quill.org |
|--|---|-----------|----------------------------------|
| | group instruction (max of 3) outside of classroom 5xweek | | Multisensory Reading Instruction |
| | | | |

Sixth-Eighth Math

| | NPCSD Standard Mathematics 6 - 8 Protocol | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction | | Instru | ction and Intervention Res | sources | | |
| Grade | (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | | |
| 6 | 40 minute periods every day Math 6 Curriculum Units of Study (District Curriculum based on NYS Math Standards) | Tier 1 Intervention:Within CoreLarge or small group instructiontypically in the classroom for 10-15 minutes as needed per topic.Tier 2 Intervention:Core +40 minutes for 2 to 3 times aweek typically outside theclassroom. Small groups of 4 - 6students.Tier 3 Intervention:Core + | IXL Math XTRA Math Math Bits Jr Small group instruction as needed Graphic organizers Graph paper | IXL Math XTRA Math Math Bits Jr Small group instruction as needed Castle Learning Small group foundational skills lessons SUNY student tutoring | IXL Math XTRA Math Math Bits Jr Small group instruction as needed Castle Learning Small group foundational skills lessons | | |
| | | 40 minutes 5 times a week typically outside the classroom. Small groups of 1 - 3 students | | | | | |

| | | Tier 1 Intervention: | IXL Math | IXL Math | IXL Math |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 40 minute periods every day | Within Core | XTRA Math | XTRA Math | XTRA Math |
| | Math 7 Curriculum Units of Study | Large or small group instruction | Math Bits Jr | Math Bits Jr | Math Bits Jr |
| | (District Curriculum based on NYS Math Standards) | typically in the classroom for 10- 15 minutes as needed per topic. | Small group instruction as needed | Small group instruction as needed | Small group instruction as needed |
| | | Tier 2 Intervention: | Graphic organizers | Castle Learning | Castle Learning |
| | | Core + | Graph paper | Small group foundational skills | Small group foundational skills |
| 7 | | 40 minutes for 2 to 3 times a week typically outside the | | lessons | lessons |
| | | classroom. Small groups of 4 - 6 students. | | SUNY student tutoring | |
| | | Tier 3 Intervention: | | | |
| | | Core + | | | |
| | | 40 minutes 5 times a week | | | |
| | | typically outside the classroom. Small groups of 1 - 3 students. | | | |
| | | Tier 1 Intervention: | IXL Math | IXL Math | IXL Math |
| | 40 minute periods every day | Within Core | XTRA Math | XTRA Math | XTRA Math |
| | Math 8 Curriculum Units of Study | Large or small group instruction | Math Bits Jr | Math Bits Jr | Math Bits Jr |
| 8 | (District Curriculum based on NYS Math Standards) | typically in the classroom for 10- 15 minutes as needed per topic. | Small group instruction as needed | Small group instruction as needed | Small group instruction as needed |
| | | Tier 2 Intervention: | Graphic organizers | Castle Learning | Castle Learning |
| | | Core + | Graph paper | Small group | Small group |
| | | 40 minutes for 2 to 3 times a | | foundational skills lessons | foundational skills lessons |
| | | week typically outside the | | SUNY student tutoring | |

| classroom. Small groups of 4 - 6 students. | |
|--|--|
| Tier 3 Intervention: | |
| Core + | |
| 40 minutes 5 times a week typically outside the classroom. Small groups of 1 - 3 students. | |

Ninth-Tenth Literacy

| | NPCSD 9-10 Standard Literacy Protocol | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction | | Instru | ction and Intervention Res | sources | | |
| Grade | (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | | |
| 9 | 80 minutes every other day ELA 9 -Article of the week (Individual reading) -Drama with audio support (Group reading, guided) -Fishbowl discussion (guided discussion) -SSR (individual reading) -Vocabulary informed by PSAT -Research (informed by Inf. Lit) -NYS Regents prep | Instruction: Tier 1- 80 minutes every other day, with instructional supports and small group instruction added to reteach and reinforce challenging areas Tier 2- supportive Lab (40 min/every other day) to address areas of deficit, also develop a plan of intervention (indiv., small group, whole class which can be differentiated according to needs) Tier 3- Instruction will be no more than 3 and occur outside of the classroom. | Differentiation of Instruction: Student selection of book during SSR w/ individual conferencing Text complexity increases gradually throughout year extended time for assessments Vocabulary support increases Quizlets, and other quiz generators for home practice Audio versions of literary text are available; some bi- lingual exist Testing accommodations for | teacher website supports Stretch classes for skill building Castle Learning One on one instruction after school for more guided practice | Outside of classroom supports, speech/language specialist | | |

| 10 | 80 minutes every other day ELA 10 -Read/Write/React (Individual reading)non-fiction -Drama with audio support (Group reading, guided) -Guided debate/ discussion (guided discussion) -SSR (individual reading) -Vocabulary informed by SAT -Research (informed by Inf. Lit) -NYS Regents prep (Direct instruction of authorial Writing Strategies, Lit. analysis, persuasive writing, analytical writing) -Creative writing informed by the Writing Strategies unit -poetry, novels, and drama | Instruction: Tier 1- 80 minutes every other day, with instructional supports and small group instruction added to reteach and reinforce challenging areas Tier 2-supportive Lab (40 min/every other day) to address areas of deficit, also develop a plan of intervention (indiv., small grp, whole class which can be differentiated according to needs) Tier 3- Instruction will be no more than 3 and occur outside of the classroom. | IEP, 504, declassified students Differentiation of Instruction: Student selection of roles during group activities Student selection of book during SSR Varying text complexity-SSR and Lit. Circles Inclusion of student work in examples of correct responses (levels of complexity) Essay revisions in concert with teacher meeting, outside of class/for all students Organizational support (binder check, recording assignments) Varying text complexity in some curriculum graphic organizers | teacher website supports Slightly modified format and assessments in Stretch Modified instruction in Story Maps Vocabulary support increases - additional, individualized instruction One on one instruction after school for more guided practice | Outside of classroom supports, speech/language specialist |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|
|----|--|---|---|--|--|

| Quizlets, and other quiz generators for home practice |
|--|
| Audio versions of literary text are available; some bi- lingual exist |
| Testing accommodations for an option for all students including extended time |

Ninth-Eleventh Math

| | NPCSD 9-12 Standard Mathematics Protocol | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction | | Instruc | tion and Intervention Re | sources | | |
| Grade | (Time & Curriculum) | Time and Group Size | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | | |
| 9 | Assessment - Pre-assessment - Do Now questions - Exits slips - Weekly quizzes - Monthly tests - End of the unit project - Individual Conferences Instructions - Pair share - Individual - Whole group | Tier 1 intervention Time (80 minutes) Group size 2 to 3 students based on abilities (%40) 1 student (%25) Whole class (%35) Tier 2 intervention AIS 40 minutes 1.5 block with small group and individual instruction as needed. Tier 3 Interventions Stretch the Algebra 1 curriculum to 2 years to include basic and foundational skills | After school help Class teacher You tube Videos for the lessons Updated website with Additional support video by other teachers Online practices with immediate feedback. Power word Vocabulary list Monthly review packets of regents Questions. Unit Portfolio (Study Guide) | One on one instruction after school for more guided practice AIS Class providing individualized support One on one instruction to reteach or use different strategies Castle learning practices for regent's questions | Stretch the curriculum to two years Alg A and Alg 1 and include teaching of foundation skills in 6th, 7th and 8th grades. Using manipulatives to ensure better understanding More time on practicing skills | | |

| | | | Extra time on assessment | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|---|
| 10 | Assessment - Algebra 1 NYS state Regent results as a preassessment - Do Now questions - Exits slips - Weekly quizzes - Monthly tests - End of the unit project - Individual Conferences Instructions - Pair share - Individual - Whole group | Tier 1 intervention Time (80 minutes) 1 block General ed and co-ed - Beginning of the year - Daily (10 min) - Daily (5 minute) - Once a week for (10 minutes) - Monthly unit test (80 minutes) Group size - 2 to 3 students based on abilities (%40) - 1 student (%25) - Whole class (%35) Tier 2 & 3 Intervention Stretch the geometry curriculum to 2 years to include basic and foundational skills | After school help Class teacher You tube Videos for the lessons Updated website with additional support video by other teachers Online practices with immediate feedback. Power word Vocabulary list Monthly review packets of regents Questions. Unit Portfolio (Study Guide) | One on one instruction after school for more guided practice | Stretch the geometry curriculum to 2 years to include basic and foundational skills using manipulatives to ensure better understanding more time on practicing skills |

| Assessment - Geometry NYS state Regent results as a pre- assessment - Do Now questions - Exits slips - Weekly quizzes - Monthly tests - End of the unit project - Individual Conferences Instructions - Pair share - Individual - Whole group | Tier 1 intervention Time (80 minutes) 1 block General ed and co-ed Beginning of the year Daily (10 min) Daily (5 minute) Once a week for (10 minutes) Monthly unit test (80 minutes) Group size 2 to 3 students based on abilities (%40 time) 1 student (%25 time) Whole class (%35 time) Tier 2 & 3 Intervention Stretch the Algebra 2 curriculum to 2 years to include basic and foundational skills | After school help Class teacher You tube Videos for the lessons Updated website with Additional support video by other teachers Online practices with immediate feedback Power word Vocabulary list Monthly review packets of regents Questions. Unit Portfolio (Study Guide) | One on one instruction after school for more guided practice | Stretch the Alg 2 curriculum to 2 years to include basic and foundational skills using manipulatives to ensure better understanding more time on practicing skills Recommendation for 12 th grade College Algebra (meeting minimum NYS Math credits) |
|---|--|---|--|--|
|---|--|---|--|--|

Kindergarten - Twelfth Behavior

| | NPCSD K-12 Behavioral Standard Protocol | | | | | |
|-------|--|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Grade | Grade Tier 1 (Universal) Instruction Time and Group Size | | Instruction and Intervention Resources | | | |
| | (Time & Curriculum) | | Tier 1 Instructional Supports | Tier 2 Interventions | Tier 3 Interventions | |
| К-5 | K-2 Social Worker pushing in for SEL Lessons 3-5 Monthly social worker pushing in for SEL Lessons K-5 Resources provided to classroom teachers for SEL instruction Responsive Classroom Delivery of Mental Health Curriculum | Varies depending on need. | Sharing of Mental Health Curriculum with Staff Explicit Teaching of Behavioral Expectations Collaboration with IST- strategies gleaned from this process can be implemented in the classroom Positive Classroom Rules Posted Effective Teacher Directives Team Meetings Elements of Effective Instruction Students trained in basic class routines Duzine-Lenape counselor collaboration on students moving up Counselor/psychologist collaboration with classrooms as a whole "Bucket Filling" No Place for Hate Selected lessons and resources from PBIS Open House Intervention Central Check in- Check Out Zones of Regulation | Case Management Meetings Banana Splits Anxiety Group Social Skills Groups Referrals to Outside Agencies Crisis Counseling as needed Collaboration with IST- strategies gleaned from this process can be implemented in the classroom Conflict Resolution Collaborations with Parents Intervention Central Behavioral Contracts PRIM Manual Restorative Circle | Attendance Intervention as per policy 71-10 Functional Behavior Assessment Behavior Intervention Plan Referral to IDT, Astor, or other Clinical Settings Team Meeting for Specific Students Modified Schedule Consultation with psychologist/social worker Sensory rooms Lifespace interview | |

| 6-12 | School counselor push in to provide SEL lessons/mental health curriculum | Varies depending on need. | Sharing of Mental Health Curriculum of Staff Explicit Teaching of Behavioral Expectations Vision and Mission Statement Posted Effective Teacher Directives Team Meetings Elements of Effective Instructions Students trained in basic class routines MS/HS counselor collaboration on incoming 9th graders Counselor/psychologist collaboration with classrooms as a whole Community Building Groups No Place for Hate THINK Initiative Caring, Connecting, and Making a Difference Open House Intervention Central | Anxiety Group Social Skills Groups After school Social Skills Group Crisis Counseling Collaboration with IST- strategies gleaned from this process can be implemented in the classroom Collaborations with Parents Intervention Central Behavioral Contracts PRIM Manual Restorative Circle | Attendance Intervention as per Policy 71-10 Functional Behavior Assessment Behavior Intervention Plan Referral to IDT, Astor, or other Clinical Settings Team Meeting for Specific Students Probation/PINS Modified Schedule Consultation with Psychologist/Social worker Sensory Room Student Assistance Counselor |
|------|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | |

SECTION 4: ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN RTI

Cross-Context RTI Team

This team consists of key personnel from the district administration, district-wide support services, building level interventionists, and classroom teacher representatives from each building/level. The purpose of this team is to monitor and evaluate the implementation of the New Paltz CSD Three-Tiered RTI Model across the district. This team will analyze information collected from building RTI Teams in order to develop and revise policies and procedures, update and revise the district RTI handbook, as well as determine effective ways to support RTI processes within each building/level. This cross-context RTI team will meet no less than bi-annually.

Building RTI Teams

Each building/level in the district will establish an RTI Team whose purpose is to support and monitor the implementation of the New Paltz CSD Three-Tiered RTI Model within the school. As such, the team will:

- Monitor RTI processes within the building (i.e. use of IST/referral forms, meeting processes/procedures, timely collection, submission, and analysis of screening data)
- Establish meeting times and agendas (minimum of 4 meetings per year: beginning, mid, and end of year, plus one goal-setting meeting for following academic year)
- Analyze building-wide data from screening measures and/or state assessments for the purpose
 of evaluating and making decisions about: a) Tier 1 curriculum and instruction, b) Tiers 2 and 3
 intervention programs and instruction, c) assessment measures and processes, d) professional
 development needs, e) RTI implementation, and f) reporting to stakeholders (i.e. community
 and district administration)
- Identify and address challenges to RTI implementation in the building

This team will consist of the building principal and/or or one assistant principal, at least one literacy and one math specialist (if possible), up to one special educator per grade level, a school psychologist/counselor, and up to three classroom teachers from varying grade levels/content areas.

Roles of School Staff in Implementation of RTI

Every classroom teacher and specialist (of literacy, math, and behavior) within each building is expected to collaborate for the effective implementation of the New Paltz CSD Three-Tiered RTI Model.

The primary responsibilities of classroom teachers include, but are not limited to:

- Developing and delivering effective Tier 1 & Tier 2 instruction that aligns with New York State Next Generation Standards and district curriculum expectations
- Administering specified screening assessments and submitting data to databases and/or designated personnel in a timely manner as indicated in building assessment frameworks
- Routinely analyzing data from assessments (screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, and formative) for the purpose of identifying student needs and providing and/or advocating for appropriate instruction to meet those needs.
- Participating in the communication of student assessment results, student needs, and progress to parents/caregivers

The primary responsibilities of specialists include, but are not limited to:

- Developing and delivering effective Tier 2 and Tier 3 instruction that aligns with identified student needs
- Administering diagnostic and progress monitoring assessments and reporting and explaining data to classroom teachers in a timely manner
- Routinely analyzing data from assessments (screening, diagnostic, progress monitoring, and formative) for the purpose of (re)identifying student needs and providing and/or advocating for appropriate instruction to meet those needs.
- Participating in the communication of student assessment results, student needs, and progress to parents/caregivers

The primary responsibilities of building principals include, but are not limited to:

- ensuring that all facets of the RTI handbook are implemented with fidelity
- collaborating with IST team members and leading building RTI team meetings
- providing building level data and other necessary information to the cross-context RTI team to evaluate, revise, and update RTI processes as needed

Professional Development

Part 100.2(ii)(3) of 8 NYCRR requires each school district take "appropriate steps" to ensure that staff have the knowledge and skills necessary to implement a RTI program and that such program is implemented consistent with the specific structure and components of the RTI process selected by the school district. The New Paltz Central School District provides elementary school teachers with ongoing training in the teaching of reading, writing and mathematics. Such training is coordinated by the Deputy Superintendent and reflects the needs of the teachers in each building. In some cases, staff training may be provided by professional organizations. Teachers may also receive training by attending courses or by attending professional conferences and seminars.

SECTION 5: THE ROLE OF RTI IN SPECIAL EDUCATION ELIGIBILITY

Referral to the Committee on Special Education (CSE)

• Teachers and other school personnel may make a referral to the CSE if the student's difficulties are **not** assumed to be the result of a specific learning disability (i.e. Speech/Language Impairment, Physical Disability, Emotional Behavioral etc.) (IDEA 34CFR § 300.307).

• Students previously identified by the district or by another district may be referred the CSE by school personnel for an evaluation.

• Students who have been provided Tier 2 and/or Tier 3 services and continue to need intervention due to insufficient growth toward grade level expectations may be referred to the CSE for an evaluation.

• RTI does not interfere with a parent's right to request a CSE evaluation at any time.

Learning Disability Defined

• As defined in section 200.1(zz)(6) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education, learning disability means a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, which manifests itself in an imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations, as determined in accordance with section 200.4(j) of the Regulations of the Commissioner of Education. The term includes such conditions as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. The term does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities, of an intellectual disability, of emotional disturbance, or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

Learning Disability Determination

• Effective on and after July 1, 2012, a school district must have an RTI process in place, as it may no longer use the severe discrepancy between achievement and intellectual ability to determine that a student in kindergarten through grade four has a learning disability in the area of reading. In making a determination of eligibility for special education under the classification of LD, the CSE must determine that underachievement of the student is not due to lack of appropriate instruction in reading, mathematics, or limited English proficiency (RTI Guidance for NYS School District, Oct. 2010, p. 45)

SECTION 6: RESOURCES

The following resources were consulted in the creation of this handbook and will guide the implementation of RTI in the NPCSD.

Texts

Burns, M.K. & Gibbons, K. (2012). *Implementing Response-to-Intervention in Elementary and Secondary Schools: Procedures to assure scientific-based practices*, 2nd Ed. Routledge: New York, NY.

Lipton, L. & Wellman, B. (2012). *Got data? Now what?: Creating and leading cultures of inquiry.* Solution Tree Press: Bloomington, IN.

Websites

Center on Multi-Tiered System of Supports at the American Institutes for Research: https://mtss4success.org/

Creating New Opportunities for You to Help Students Thrive: http://images.pearsonclinical.com/images/ca/RTI/index.htm

NYSED General School Requirements: <u>100.2 General School Requirements</u> | <u>New York State Education</u> <u>Department (nysed.gov)</u>

NYSED, Minimum Requirements of a Response to Intervention Program: <u>Response to Intervention</u> <u>Guidance - Appropriate Instruction: Special Education : P-12 : NYSED</u>

NYSED Office of Special Education: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/specialed/

Quality Indicator Review and Resource Guides for Literacy: Literacy Quality Indicators (nysed.gov)

RTI Action Network: A program of the National Center for Learning Disabilities: <u>RTI Action Network</u> (<u>rtinetwork.org</u>)

APPENDIX

Texts Providing Guidance for Intervention

Caldwell, J.C. & Leslie, L. (2013). Intervention Strategies to Follow Informal Reading Inventory Assessment: So what do I do now?, 3rd Ed. Pearson: Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Lesaux, N.K. & Marietta, S. H. (2012) *Making Assessment Matter: Using test results to differentiate reading instruction*. Guilford Press: New York, NY.

McCarney, S.B. & Wunderlich, K.C. (2014). *Pre-Referral Intervention Manual: The most common learning and behavior problems encountered in the educational environment*, 4th Ed. Hawthorne Educational Services, Inc.: Columbia, MO.

McEwan-Adkins, E.K. (2010). *40 Reading Intervention Strategies for K-6 Students: Research-based support for RTI*. 2nd Ed. Solution Tree Press: Bloomington, IN.

Links to Intervention Tools and Strategies

- Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR) Student Center Activities: <u>https://www.fcrr.org/student-center-activities</u>
- FCRR Resource Database: <u>https://www.fcrr.org/resource-database</u>
- Intervention Central: <u>https://www.interventioncentral.org/</u>
- IRIS Center @ Peabody College, Vanderbilt University Resource Locator: <u>https://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/resources/iris-resource-locator/</u>
- Doing What Works Library: <u>https://dwwlibrary.wested.org/</u>
- National Center on Improving Literacy: <u>https://improvingliteracy.org/school</u>
- National Center on Intensive Intervention: <u>https://intensiveintervention.org/</u>
- New York State RTI: <u>https://nysrti.org</u>

Texts to Support Progress Monitoring

Consortium on Reading Excellence (2008). *Assessing Reading: Multiple measures for kindergarten through twelfth grade*, 2nd Ed. Arena Press: Novato, CA.

Cooter, R. B., Flynt, E. S., & Cooter, K. S. (2022) *Comprehensive Reading Inventory: Assessment of k-12 reading skills in English and Spanish*, 3rd Ed. Pearson: Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Leslie, L. & Caldwell, J. S. (2021) *Qualitative Reading Inventory*, 7th Ed. Pearson: Upper Saddle River, N.J.

Opitz, M.F., Ford, M.P., & Erekson, J.A. (2011). *Accessible Assessment: How 9 sensible techniques can power data-driven reading instruction.* Heinemann: Portsmouth, NH.

Links to Progress Monitoring Tools and Resources

- easyCBMLite <u>https://easycbm.com/</u>
- Reading A-Z Benchmark Passages & Running Records <u>https://www.readinga-</u> z.com/assessments/benchmark-passages/

- Oral Reading Fluency Passage Generator: <u>https://www.interventioncentral.org/teacher-</u> resources/oral-reading-fluency-passages-generator
- National Center on Intensive Intervention Progress Monitoring Handouts: <u>https://intensiveintervention.org/sites/default/files/Progress-Monitoring-Handouts_508.pdf#content</u>
- Iris Center: Data-Based Individualization (including obtaining and analyzing Progress Monitoring data) <u>https://iris.peabody.vanderbilt.edu/module/dbi2/cresource/q1/p01/#content</u>

Forms & Templates

IST Forms

Forms to support the effective and efficient implementation of RTI are provided on the following pages:

- K-2 Instructional Study Team Forms (Duzine)
- 3-5 Instructional Study Team Referral Form (Lenape)
- 6-8 Instructional Study Team Referral Form (NPCSD Middle School)
- 9-12 Instructional Study Team Referral Form (NPCSD High School)

Parent Notification Letter

As noted above (Section 1, New York State RTI Requirements), parents/caregivers must be notified when the student requires an intervention beyond that provided to all students in the general education classroom.

A template letter to support the effective and efficient communication of all required information is provided on the following pages.

Duzine Elementary School

Student Support Request To Instructional Support Team

IST MISSION STATEMENT: The Instructional Support Team is a resource for teachers. Its mission is to collectively suggest ways teachers can help students improve academically and/or behaviorally through a multidisciplinary approach.

| Indicate type of Instructional Support Team Referral: | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|
| a. Crisis Management Team Referral | | | |
| b. Talented/ | Differentiation needs Referral | | |
| c. Regular IS | T Referral | | |
| Please fill in the information r | equested below where applicable. | | |
| Student: | DOB: | | |
| Teacher: | Grade: | | |
| Referred by: | Referral date: | | |
| Parent/Guardian: | Address: | | |
| Referred by: | | | |

Is this student an English Language Learner? _____Yes _____No

1. Reason for Referral (X = primary concern, \checkmark = additional concern):

| Domain | Concerns Within Domain | | |
|---------|---|-----------------|--|
| Reading | — Phonemic Awareness | — Comprehension | |
| | — Decoding/Phonics | — Vocabulary | |
| | — Word Recognition (i.e. | — Fluency | |
| | High Frequency Words) | | |
| Writing | — Organization | — Mechanics | |
| | — Sentence composition | — Spelling | |
| Math | — Number Sense (und. value, connecting to life, estimating) | | |
| | Problem solving strategies | | |
| | — Learning new concepts | | |
| | Retaining skills, concepts, | understanding | |

| Motor Skills/OT | — Fine Motor (handwriting, cutting, drawing) | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | — Gross Motor (running, clir | mbing, catching) | | | |
| | — Sensory (heightened reac | tions to aspects of environment) | | | |
| Speech/Language | — Articulation/Pronunciatio | — Articulation/Pronunciation | | | |
| | — Expressive Lang. (sharing | Expressive Lang. (sharing ideas, syntax/grammar, forming | | | |
| | appropriate responses, asking questions) | | | | |
| | — Receptive Lang. (understa | anding questions/directions, | | | |
| | listening skills, "tuning in" | ' to important information) | | | |
| | — Pragmatic Lang. (body lan | nguage, facial expressions, eye | | | |
| | contact, appropriate volu | me, speed, turn taking) | | | |
| Social/Emotional/ | — Distractibility | — Non-Compliance | | | |
| Behavioral | — Impulsivity | — Aggression | | | |
| | — Social Skills | — Insubordination | | | |
| Psychosocial or Mental | — Depression | — Suicidal | | | |
| Health Concerns | — Grief | thoughts/ideations | | | |
| | — Anxiety | — Self-Esteem | | | |
| | — Peer Difficulties | — Family/Home Issues | | | |
| | — School Avoidance | — New student struggling | | | |
| | — Self-Injurious behaviors | with adjustment | | | |
| | | — Other | | | |
| Executive | — Concentration | — Organization (does | | | |
| Functioning | — Task Initiation | assignments/cannot | | | |
| | — Task Completion | find them, misplaces | | | |
| | — Planning/Prioritization | materials) | | | |
| | — Tenacity/Persistence | | | | |
| Health/Attendance | — # Absences | — Vision | | | |
| | — # Tardies | — Hearing | | | |
| | — # Left Early | — Other | | | |
| Other | Please describe: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Please briefly explain how concern(s) (**primary reason for referral**) is impacting the student's success and/or progress:

| 2. Student's Current Levels (check in appropriate | columns): |
|---|-----------|
|---|-----------|

| Domain | Below | Average | Above | Evidence* |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | Average | | Average | |
| Overall | | | | F&P Instructional Level - |
| Reading/Fluency | | | | F&P Independent Level - |
| | | | | Please bring most up to date ELP data. |
| Writing | | | | <i>Please bring sample(s) if writing is a concern.</i> |
| Handwriting | | | | <i>Please bring sample(s) if handwriting is a concern.</i> |
| Spelling | | | | |
| Math | | | | |
| Executive Functioning | | | | |
| Social/Emotional/ | | | | |
| Behavior | | | | |

*IMPORTANT: Please provide evidence to support the approximated levels indicated above, prioritizing areas indicated as below average. Evidence may include but is not limited to: Kindergarten assessment forms, ELP data, other screening measures (i.e. completed Fountas & Pinnell Running Record, Bridges Math Screener), progress monitoring and formative assessment results (i.e. informal running records, checklists, rubrics, rating scales, etc.), student work samples, dated anecdotal records, notes/comments from previous teacher, etc.

3. Strengths: (Please list student's strengths beyond those reported above. You may consider certain activities the student prefers to do/tends to excel in.)

4. Instructional/Behavioral Supports or Interventions Utilized:

In the tables below, indicate:

- Tier 1 instructional supports/interventions you have utilized to address the student's area(s) of concern noted above. (Please refer to Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols in NPCSD RTI Handbook.)
- Any additional school and/or community resources the student has received to address the area(s) of concern noted above.

Tier 1 Instructional Supports/Interventions Implemented

| Instructional Support or Intervention | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency and Duration | Beginning Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) | Ending Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Additional School/Community Resources

| Support Resource | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency | Brief description of impact on area of concern. |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

5. Meeting Planning/Prep:

a. An administrator, classroom teacher and special education teacher will be present. Please indicate on the following list any other support staff you would like present.

| Remedial Reading / Literacy Specialist | Occupational Therapist | School Nurse |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Speech Therapist | School Social Worker | Add'l Classroom Teacher |
| ENL Teacher | School Psychologist | Other |

b. Have you consulted with any of the above staff prior to this referral?

| Yes | (Name: |) No |
|-----|--------|------|
| 100 | | _/ |

c. What are your needs and expectations for this meeting? How can we help you and/or what would you like to see happen as a result of this referral to the IST?

d. Please be sure to contact the parent/guardian to discuss your concerns **prior to** <u>meeting with the Instructional Support Team</u>.

_____I have contacted the parent/guardian about my concerns.

_____I have informed the parent/guardian I will be seeking suggestions/support from colleagues on the Instructional Support Team.

_____ Teacher's Initials

_____ Date of Contact

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM INITIAL MEETING

| Date: | Focus Student: | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| AFTER Meeting: Does this case need to be r | evisited? | | | |
| □ Yes (DATE: Time: |) □No | | | |
| Parent Notification Letter Needed?: QYes, S | Sent on(Date) 🗆 No | | | |
| Student to be referred to CSE? | □No | | | |
| Attendees: | | | | |
| Note Taker: | | | | |
| 1. Background Information: | | | | |
| Student's Strengths: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Areas of Concern and Present Levels of Performance: | | | | |
| 2. Hypothesis Generation: | | | | |
| What child characteristics might be related | to the concern? | | | |

What *curriculum issues* might be related to the concern?

What instructional issues might be related to the concern?

What *peer/social issues* might be related to the concern?

What *classroom environment* issues might be related to the concern?

What *home/community* issues might be related to the concern?

3. Hypothesis:

We think the problem may be occurring because...

4. SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific):

5. Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or Intervention | Person(s) Responsible | Frequency (Session per week/cycle) | Duration (Length of Time per Session) |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

6. Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method | How Often | Person(s) Responsible for |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| (i.e., subtest, checklist, running | (Times per | Progress Monitoring |
| record, rating scale) | week/cycle/month) | (Session per week/cycle) |
| | | |

7. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM REVISIT MEETING

| Date: | Focus Student: |
|---|----------------|
| AFTER Meeting: | |
| Does this case need to be revisited? | |
| □Yes (DATE: Time: |) □No |
| Parent Notification Letter Needed?: QYes, Sent | on(Date) |
| Student to be referred to CSE? □Yes, DATE | □No |
| Attendees: | |
| Note Taker: | |
| Has the previously identified problem changed Were the intervention and progress monitorin | _ |
| If no, explanation | |
| 3. Are there any new areas of concern? \Box Yes [| ∃ No |
| If yes, describe | |

4. According to the progress monitoring data and documentation, has the previous intervention plan been effective? □ Yes □ No

If yes, continue with current intervention and progress monitoring plans.

If no or if new area of concern, revise the goal, intervention, and/or progress monitoring plans and reconvene.

- 5. REVISED SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific) if applicable:
- 6. REVISED Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or Intervention | | Frequency | Duration |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Person(s) Responsible | (Session per week/cycle) | (Length of Time per Session) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

7. REVISED Progress Monitoring Plan:

| How Often (Times per | Person(s) Responsible for Progress Monitoring | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| week/cycle/month) | (Session per week/cycle) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (Times per | |

8. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the revised intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

Lenape Elementary School

Student Support Request To Instructional Support Team

IST MISSION STATEMENT: The Instructional Support Team is a resource for teachers. Its mission is to collectively suggest ways teachers can help students improve academically and/or behaviorally through a multidisciplinary approach.

| Indicate type of Instructional Support Team Referral: | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| a. Crisis Management Team Referral | | | |
| b. Talented | /Differentiation needs Referral | | |
| c. Regular I | ST Referral | | |
| Please fill in the information | requested below where applicable. | | |
| Student: | DOB: | | |
| Teacher: | Grade: | | |
| Referred by: | Referral date: | | |
| Parent/Guardian: | Address: | | |
| Referred by: | | | |

Is this student an English Language Learner? _____Yes _____No

1. Reason for Referral (X = primary concern, \checkmark = additional concern):

| Domain | Concerns Within Domain | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Reading | — Phonemic Awareness — Comprehension | | | |
| | — Decoding/Phonics | — Vocabulary | | |
| | — Word Recognition (i.e. — Fluency | | | |
| | High Frequency Words) | | | |
| Writing | — Organization | — Mechanics | | |
| | — Sentence composition | — Sentence composition — Spelling | | |
| Math | — Number Sense (und. value, connecting to life, estimating) | | | |
| | Problem solving strategies | | | |
| | — Learning new concepts | | | |
| | Retaining skills, concepts, | understanding | | |

| Motor Skills/OT | — Fine Motor (handwriting, cutting, drawing) | | |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | — Gross Motor (running, climbing, catching) | | |
| | — Sensory (heightened reac | tions to aspects of environment) | |
| Speech/Language | — Articulation/Pronunciatio | n | |
| | — Expressive Lang. (sharing | ideas, syntax/grammar, forming | |
| | appropriate responses, as | sking questions) | |
| | — Receptive Lang. (understa | anding questions/directions, | |
| | listening skills, "tuning in" | ' to important information) | |
| | — Pragmatic Lang. (body lan | nguage, facial expressions, eye | |
| | contact, appropriate volu | me, speed, turn taking) | |
| Social/Emotional/ | — Distractibility | — Non-Compliance | |
| Behavioral | — Impulsivity | — Aggression | |
| | — Social Skills | — Insubordination | |
| Psychosocial or Mental | — Depression | — Suicidal | |
| Health Concerns | — Grief | thoughts/ideations | |
| | — Anxiety | — Self-Esteem | |
| | — Peer Difficulties | — Family/Home Issues | |
| | — School Avoidance | — New student struggling | |
| | — Self-Injurious behaviors | with adjustment | |
| | | — Other | |
| Executive | — Concentration | — Organization (does | |
| Functioning | — Task Initiation | assignments/cannot | |
| | — Task Completion | find them, misplaces | |
| | — Planning/Prioritization | materials) | |
| | — Tenacity/Persistence | | |
| Health/Attendance | — # Absences | — Vision | |
| | — # Tardies | — Hearing | |
| | — # Left Early | — Other | |
| Other | Please describe: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Please briefly explain how concern(s) (**primary reason for referral**) is impacting the student's success and/or progress:

| 2. | Student's Current Levels | (check in appropriate columns): |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <u> </u> | Student 5 current Ecvels | (check in uppropriate columns). |

| Domain | Below | Average | Above | Evidence* |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | Average | | Average | |
| Overall | | | | F&P Instructional Level - |
| Reading/Fluency | | | | F&P Independent Level - |
| | | | | Please bring most up to date data. |
| Writing | | | | <i>Please bring sample(s) if writing is a concern.</i> |
| Handwriting | | | | <i>Please bring sample(s) if handwriting is a concern.</i> |
| Spelling | | | | |
| Math | | | | |
| Executive Functioning | | | | |
| Social/Emotional/ | | | | |
| Behavior | | | | |

*IMPORTANT: Please provide evidence to support the approximated levels indicated above, prioritizing areas indicated as below average. Evidence may include but is not limited to: Screening measures (i.e. completed Fountas & Pinnell Running Record, Quick Phonics Screener, Six Dimension Fluency Scale, Words Their Way Spelling Inventory, Bridges Math Screener), progress monitoring and formative assessment results (i.e. informal running records, checklists, rubrics, rating scales, etc.), student work samples, dated anecdotal records, notes/comments from previous teacher, etc.

3. Strengths: (Please list student's strengths **beyond those reported above**. You may consider certain activities the student prefers to do/tends to excel in.)

4. Instructional/Behavioral Supports or Interventions Utilized:

In the tables below, indicate:

- Tier 1 instructional supports/interventions you have utilized to address the student's area(s) of concern noted above. (Please refer to Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols in NPCSD RTI Handbook.)
- Any additional school and/or community resources the student has received to address the area(s) of concern noted above.

Tier 1 Instructional Supports/Interventions Implemented

| Instructional Support or Intervention | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency and Duration | Beginning Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) | Ending Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Additional School/Community Resources

| Support Resource | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency | Brief description of impact on area of concern. |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

5. Meeting Planning/Prep:

e. An administrator, classroom teacher and special education teacher will be present. Please indicate on the following list any other support staff you would like present.

| Remedial Reading / Literacy Specialist | Occupational Therapist | School Nurse |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Speech Therapist | School Social Worker | Add'l Classroom Teacher |
| ENL Teacher | School Psychologist | Other |

f. Have you consulted with any of the above staff prior to this referral?

| Yes | (Name: |) No |
|-----|--------|------|
| 100 | | |

g. What are your needs and expectations for this meeting? How can we help you and/or what would you like to see happen as a result of this referral to the IST?

h. Please be sure to contact the parent/guardian to discuss your concerns **prior to** <u>meeting with the Instructional Support Team</u>.

_____I have contacted the parent/guardian about my concerns.

_____I have informed the parent/guardian I will be seeking suggestions/support from colleagues on the Instructional Support Team.

_____ Teacher's Initials

_____ Date of Contact

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM INITIAL MEETING

| Date: | | Focus Student: | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|--------|--|--|
| AFTER Meeting: Does this case need to be revisited? | | | | | |
| □ Yes (DATE: | Time: |) □No | | | |
| Parent Notification Letter Need | ded?: 🗆 Yes, Sent | : on | (Date) | | |
| Student to be referred to CSE? Yes, DATE No | | | | | |
| Attendees: | | | | | |
| Note Taker: | | | | | |
| 1. Background Information: | | | | | |
| Student's Strengths: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Areas of Concern and Present Levels of Performance: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. Hypothesis Generation: | | | | | |
| What child characteristics might be related to the concern? | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

What *curriculum issues* might be related to the concern?

What instructional issues might be related to the concern?

What *peer/social issues* might be related to the concern?

What *classroom environment* issues might be related to the concern?

What *home/community* issues might be related to the concern?

3. Hypothesis:

We think the problem may be occurring because...

4. SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific):

5. Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or Intervention | | Frequency | Duration |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Person(s) Responsible | (Session per week/cycle) | (Length of Time per Session) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

6. Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method | How Often | Person(s) Responsible for |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| (i.e., subtest, checklist, running | (Times per | Progress Monitoring |
| record, rating scale) | week/cycle/month) | (Session per week/cycle) |
| | | |

7. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM REVISIT MEETING

| Date: | Focus Student: |
|---|----------------|
| AFTER Meeting: | |
| Does this case need to be revisited? | |
| □Yes (DATE: Time: |) □No |
| Parent Notification Letter Needed?: QYes, Sent | on(Date) 🗆 No |
| Student to be referred to CSE? | □No |
| Attendees: | |
| Note Taker: | |
| Has the previously identified problem change Were the intervention and progress monitoring | - |
| If no, explanation | |
| 3. Are there any new areas of concern? \Box Yes | 🗆 No |
| If yes, describe | |
| 4. According to the progress monitoring data an intervention plan been effective? □ Yes □ I | - |

If yes, continue with current intervention and progress monitoring plans.

If no **or** if new area of concern, revise the goal, intervention, and/or progress monitoring plans and reconvene.

- 5. REVISED SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific) if applicable:
- 6. REVISED Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or Intervention | | Frequency | Duration |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Person(s) Responsible | (Session per week/cycle) | (Length of Time per Session) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

7. **REVISED** Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method (i.e., subtest, checklist, running record, rating scale) | How Often (Times per week/cycle/month) | Person(s) Responsible for Progress Monitoring (Session per week/cycle) |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

8. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the revised intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

New Paltz Middle School

Student Support Request To Instructional Support Team

IST MISSION STATEMENT: The Instructional Support Team is a resource for teachers. Its mission is to collectively suggest ways teachers can help students improve academically and/or behaviorally through a multidisciplinary approach.

Is this student an English Language Learner? _____Yes _____No

1. Reason for Referral (X = primary concern, \checkmark = additional concern):

| Domain | Concerns Within Domain | | |
|---------|---|-----------------|--|
| Reading | — Decoding/Phonics | — Comprehension | |
| | — Word Recognition (i.e. | — Vocabulary | |
| | automaticity) | — Fluency | |
| Writing | — Organization | — Mechanics | |
| | — Sentence composition | — Spelling | |
| Math | — Number Sense (und. value, connecting to life, estimating) | | |
| | Problem solving strategies | | |
| | — Learning new concepts | | |
| | Retaining skills, concepts, | understanding | |

| Speech/Language | Expressive Lang. (sharing ideas, syntax/grammar, forming appropriate responses, asking questions) Receptive Lang. (understanding questions/directions, listening skills, "tuning in" to important information) | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Social/Emotional/ Behavioral | Distractibility Impulsivity Social Skills Withdraws from classroom activities | Non-Compliance Aggression Insubordination Other: | |
| Psychosocial or Mental Health Concerns | Depression Grief Anxiety Peer Difficulties School Avoidance Self-Injurious behaviors Suspected Drug/Alcohol Use Pregnancy | — Suicidal thoughts/ideations — Self-Esteem — Family/Home Issues — New student struggling with adjustment — Potential Dropout — Other | |
| Executive Functioning | Concentration Task Initiation Task Completion Planning/Prioritization Tenacity/Persistence | Organization (does assignments/cannot find them, does not write down assignments, comes unprepared) | |
| Health/Attendance | — # Absences — # Tardies — # Left Early | — Vision— Hearing— Other | |
| Other | Please describe: | | |

Please briefly explain how concern(s) (**primary reason for referral**) is impacting the student's success and/or progress:

2. Student's Current Performance/Level

Please provide evidence to support the approximated performance within *areas indicated as primary reason for referral*. Evidence may include but is not limited to: Screening measures (i.e. Math Local Assessment, GSRT, Fountas & Pinnell Running Record, NYS Assessments) progress monitoring and formative assessment results (i.e. quizzes, unit assessments, graded work, checklists, rubrics, rating scales, etc.), student work samples, dated anecdotal records, notes/comments from previous teacher, etc.

3. Strengths: (Please select all that apply)

| Organized | Math |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Good Study skills | Good Writing Skills |
| Friendly | Reading |
| Cooperative | Good Verbal Skills |
| Kind | Artistic |
| Musical | Athletic |
| Other: | |

What activities, if any, do you know that the student prefers to do/tends to excel in?

4. Instructional/Behavioral Supports or Interventions Utilized:

In the tables below, indicate:

- Tier 1 instructional supports/interventions you have utilized to address the student's area(s) of concern noted above. (Please refer to Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols in NPCSD RTI Handbook.)
- Any additional school and/or community resources the student has received to address the area(s) of concern noted above.

Tier 1 Instructional Supports/Interventions Implemented

| Instructional Support or Intervention | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency and Duration | Beginning Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) | Ending Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | |

Additional School/Community Resources

| Support Resource | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency | Brief description of impact on area of concern. |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

5. Meeting Planning/Prep:

i. An administrator, classroom teacher and special education teacher will be present. Please indicate on the following list any other support staff you would like present.

| Remedial Reading / Literacy Specialist | Occupational Therapist | School Nurse |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|
| Speech Therapist | School Social Worker | Add'l Classroom |
| | | Teacher |
| ENL Teacher | School Psychologist | Other |

j. Have you consulted with any of the above staff prior to this referral?

| Yes | Name: |) No |
|-----|-------|------|
| 105 | Nume: | 110 |

k. What are your needs and expectations for this meeting? How can we help you and/or what would you like to see happen as a result of this referral to the IST?

I. It is beneficial to contact the parent/guardian to discuss your concerns **prior to** meeting with the Instructional Support Team to obtain additional information or perspectives on the student's challenges.

_____I have contacted the parent/guardian about my concerns.

_____I have informed the parent/guardian I will be seeking suggestions/support from colleagues on the Instructional Support Team.

_____ Teacher's Initials

_____ Date of Contact

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM INITIAL MEETING

| Date: | | Focus Student: | |
|---|------------------|----------------|--------|
| AFTER Meeting: Does this case | need to be revis | ited? | |
| □ Yes (DATE: | Time: |) □No | |
| Parent Notification Letter Need | ed?: 🗆 Yes, Sent | on | (Date) |
| Student to be referred to CSE? [| □Yes, DATE | □No | |
| Attendees: | | | |
| Note Taker: | | | |
| 1. Background Information: | | | |
| Student's Strengths: | | | |
| Areas of Concern and Present Levels of Performance: | | | |
| 2. Hypothesis Generation: | | | |
| What child characteristics might be related to the concern? | | | |

What curriculum issues might be related to the concern?

What instructional issues might be related to the concern?

What *peer/social issues* might be related to the concern?

What *classroom environment* issues might be related to the concern?

What *home/community* issues might be related to the concern?

3. Hypothesis:

We think the problem may be occurring because...

4. SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific):

5. Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or | | Frequency | Duration |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Instructional Support or Intervention | Person(s) Responsible | (Session per week/cycle) | (Length of Time per Session) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

6. Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method (i.e., subtest, checklist, running record, rating scale) | How Often (Times per week/cycle/month) | Person(s) Responsible for Progress Monitoring (Session per week/cycle) |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

7. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM REVISIT MEETING

| Date: | Focus Student: | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|
| AFTER Meeting: | | | |
| Does this case need to be revisited? | | | |
| □Yes (DATE: Time: |) □No | | |
| Parent Notification Letter Needed?: QYes, Sent | on(Date) 🗆 No | | |
| Student to be referred to CSE? Yes, DATE No | | | |
| Attendees: | | | |
| Note Taker: | | | |
| Has the previously identified problem change Were the intervention and progress monitoring | - | | |
| If no, explanation | | | |
| 3. Are there any new areas of concern? \Box Yes \Box No | | | |
| If yes, describe | | | |
| 4. According to the progress monitoring data an intervention plan been effective? | - | | |

If yes, continue with current intervention and progress monitoring plans.

If no **or** if new area of concern, revise the goal, intervention, and/or progress monitoring plans and reconvene.

- 5. REVISED SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific) if applicable:
- 6. REVISED Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or | Person(s) Responsible | Frequency | Duration |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Intervention | | (Session per week/cycle) | (Length of Time per Session) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

7. REVISED Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method (i.e., subtest, checklist, running | How Often (Times per | Person(s) Responsible for Progress Monitoring |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| record, rating scale) | week/cycle/month) | (Session per week/cycle) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

8. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the revised intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

New Paltz High School

Student Support Request To Instructional Support Team

IST MISSION STATEMENT: The Instructional Support Team is a resource for teachers. Its mission is to collectively suggest ways teachers can help students improve academically and/or behaviorally through a multidisciplinary approach.

| | Indicate type of Instructional Support Team Referral: | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| | a. Crisis Management Team Referral | | |
| | b. Talented/Differentiation needs Referral | | |
| | c. Regular IST Referral | | |
| PI | ease fill in the information requested below where applicable. | | |
| Student: | DOB: | | |
| Teacher: | Grade: | | |
| Referred by: | Referral date: | | |
| Parent/Guardian: | Address: | | |
| Referred by: | | | |

Is this student an English Language Learner? _____Yes _____No

1. Reason for Referral (X = primary concern, ✓ = additional concern):

| Domain | Concerns Within Domain | |
|---------|---|-----------------|
| Reading | — Decoding/Phonics | — Comprehension |
| | — Word Recognition (i.e. | — Vocabulary |
| | automaticity) | — Fluency |
| Writing | — Organization | — Mechanics |
| | — Sentence composition | — Spelling |
| Math | — Number Sense (und. value, connecting to life, estimating) | |
| | Problem solving strategies | |
| | — Learning new concepts | |
| | Retaining skills, concepts, | understanding |

| Speech/Language Social/Emotional/ | appropriate responses, as — Receptive Lang. (understa | • • |
|---|--|--|
| Behavioral | Impulsivity Social Skills Withdraws from classroom activities | Aggression Insubordination Other: |
| Psychosocial or Mental Health Concerns | — Depression — Grief — Anxiety — Peer Difficulties — School Avoidance — Self-Injurious behaviors — Suspected Drug/Alcohol Use — Pregnancy | Suicidal thoughts/ideations Self-Esteem Family/Home Issues New student struggling with adjustment Potential Dropout Other |
| Executive Functioning | Concentration Task Initiation Task Completion Planning/Prioritization Tenacity/Persistence | Organization (does assignments/cannot find them, does not write down assignments, comes unprepared) |
| Health/Attendance | — # Absences — # Tardies — # Left Early | — Vision— Hearing— Other |
| Other | Please describe: | |

Please briefly explain how concern(s) (**primary reason for referral**) is impacting the student's success and/or progress:

2. Student's Current Performance/Level

Please provide evidence to support the approximated performance within *areas indicated as primary reason for referral*. Evidence may include but is not limited to: Screening measures (i.e. Math Local Assessment, NYS Exams) progress monitoring and formative assessment results (i.e. quizzes, unit assessments, graded work, checklists, rubrics, rating scales, etc.), student work samples, dated anecdotal records, notes/comments from previous teacher, etc.

3. Strengths: (Please select all that apply)

| Organized | Math |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Good Study skills | Good Writing Skills |
| Friendly | Reading |
| Cooperative | Good Verbal Skills |
| Kind | Artistic |
| Musical | Athletic |
| Other: | |

What activities, if any, do you know that the student prefers to do/tends to excel in?

4. Instructional/Behavioral Supports or Interventions Utilized:

In the tables below, indicate:

- Tier 1 instructional supports/interventions you have utilized to address the student's area(s) of concern noted above. (Please refer to Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols in NPCSD RTI Handbook.)
- Any additional school and/or community resources the student has received to address the area(s) of concern noted above.

Tier 1 Instructional Supports/Interventions Implemented

| Instructional Support or Intervention | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency and Duration | Beginning Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) | Ending Performance (Scores, data, evidence, etc.) |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Additional School/Community Resources

| Support Resource | Date Begun & Date Ended | Frequency | Brief description of impact on area of concern. |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

5. Meeting Planning/Prep:

a. An administrator, classroom teacher and special education teacher will be present. Please indicate on the following list any other support staff you would like present.

| Remedial Reading / Literacy Specialist | Occupational Therapist | School Nurse |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|
| Literacy specialist | | |
| Speech Therapist | School Social Worker | Add'l Classroom |
| | | Teacher |
| ENL Teacher | School Psychologist | Other |

b. Have you consulted with any of the above staff prior to this referral?

Yes_____(Name:______) No______)

c. What are your needs and expectations for this meeting? How can we help you and/or what would you like to see happen as a result of this referral to the IST?

d. It is beneficial to contact the parent/guardian to discuss your concerns **prior to** meeting with the Instructional Support Team to obtain additional information or perspectives on the student's challenges.

_____I have contacted the parent/guardian about my concerns.

_____I have informed the parent/guardian I will be seeking suggestions/support from colleagues on the Instructional Support Team.

_____ Teacher's Initials

_____ Date of Contact

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM INITIAL MEETING

| Date: | Focus Student: | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| AFTER Meeting: Does this case need t | o be revisited? | | | |
| Yes (DATE: Time | :) □No | | | |
| Parent Notification Letter Needed?: |]Yes, Sent on(Date) 🗆 No | | | |
| Student to be referred to CSE? □Yes, | DATE □No | | | |
| Attendees: | | | | |
| Note Taker: | | | | |
| 1. Background Information: | | | | |
| Student's Strengths: | | | | |
| Areas of Concern and Present Levels of Performance: | | | | |
| 2. Hypothesis Generation: | | | | |
| What child characteristics might be related to the concern? | | | | |

What curriculum issues might be related to the concern?

What instructional issues might be related to the concern?

What *peer/social issues* might be related to the concern?

What *classroom environment* issues might be related to the concern?

What *home/community* issues might be related to the concern?

3. Hypothesis:

We think the problem may be occurring because...

4. SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific):

5. Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or Intervention | Person(s) Responsible | Frequency (Session per week/cycle) | Duration (Length of Time per Session) |
|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

6. Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method (i.e., subtest, checklist, running record, rating scale) | How Often (Times per week/cycle/month) | Person(s) Responsible for Progress Monitoring (Session per week/cycle) |
|---|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

7. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

NPCSD INSTRUCTIONAL STUDY TEAM REVISIT MEETING

| Date: | Focus Student: | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| AFTER Meeting: | | | | |
| Does this case need to be revisited? | | | | |
| □Yes (DATE: Time: |) □No | | | |
| Parent Notification Letter Needed?: QYes, Sent | on(Date) 🗆 No | | | |
| Student to be referred to CSE? | □No | | | |
| Attendees: | | | | |
| Note Taker: | | | | |
| Has the previously identified problem change Were the intervention and progress monitoring | - | | | |
| If no, explanation | | | | |
| 3. Are there any new areas of concern? \Box Yes \Box No | | | | |
| If yes, describe | | | | |
| 4. According to the progress monitoring data an intervention plan been effective? □ Yes □ I | - | | | |

If yes, continue with current intervention and progress monitoring plans.

If no **or** if new area of concern, revise the goal, intervention, and/or progress monitoring plans and reconvene.

- 5. REVISED SMART Goal (Specific. Measurable. Attainable. Relevant. Time-Specific) if applicable:
- 6. REVISED Instructional Support(s)/Intervention(s) to address the problem (See Section 3, Standard Instruction Protocols, and Appendix of RTI Handbook for suggestions) :

| Instructional Support or | Person(s) Responsible | Frequency | Duration |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Intervention | | (Session per week/cycle) | (Length of Time per Session) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

7. REVISED Progress Monitoring Plan:

| Monitoring Tool/Method (i.e., subtest, checklist, running | How Often (Times per | Person(s) Responsible for Progress Monitoring |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| record, rating scale) | week/cycle/month) | (Session per week/cycle) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

8. Expected Outcome(s):

How will we determine the student's response to the revised intervention (effective/not effective) based on data?

Parent Notification Letter Template

Please copy and paste the following onto your school's letterhead containing applicable contact information. Alternatively, schools may develop their own notification letter containing the required information stated in Section 1 (Introduction), I (New York State RTI Requirements), F (Written Notification to Parents) of the NPCSD RTI Handbook.

Date:

Dear Parent and/or Guardian of ____,

This letter is to notify you that your child will be receiving general education intervention services to support their success and continued development at school. Based on current screening assessment results and additional input from your child's teacher(s), your child will be participating in (*name of service, i.e. Academic Intervention Services, Reading Recovery,...*) where they will be provided ______(*strategies for increasing student's rate of learning*)_____. Approximately ______ times per ______ your child will copmplete progress monitoring assessments such as _(*nature of or type of assessments*) ______ to gauge your child's growth. Should you have any questions about the services provided for your child, please contact _____.

Finally, as per New York State educational law, should you desire, you may request an evaluation for special education programs or services at any time.

Thank you for your collaboration in your child's education.

Sincerely,